Article Received: 25 August 2023 Revised: 10 October 2023 Accepted: 28 October 2023

# Optimized Deep Learning Schemes for Secured Resource Allocation and Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing - A Survey

#### Thirumalai Selvan Chenni Chetty

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, GITAM school of technology, GITAM University, Bengaluru, India-561203.

#### **Martin Margala**

School of Computing and Informatics, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, USA.

## Siva Shankar Subramanian

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, KG Reddy College of Engineering and Technology RR district- 501504, Telangana, India.

#### Prasun Chakrabarti

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, ITM (SLS) Baroda University, Vadodara- 391510, Gujarat, India.

Abstract—Scheduling involves allocating shared resources gradually so that tasks can be completed within a predetermined time frame. In Task Scheduling (TS) and Resource Allocation (RA), the phrase is used independently for tasks and resources. Scheduling is widely used for Cloud Computing (CC), computer science, and operational management. Effective scheduling ensures that systems operate efficiently, decisions are made effectively, resources are used efficiently, costs are kept to a minimum, and productivity is increased. High energy consumption, lower CPU utilization, time consumption, and low robustness are the most frequent problems in TS and RA in CC. In this survey, RA and TS based on deep learning (DL) and machine learning (ML) were discussed. Additionally, look into the methods employed by DL-based RA and TS-based CC. Additionally, the benefits, drawbacks, advantages, disadvantages, and merits are explored. The work's primary contribution is an analysis and assessment of DL-based RA and TS methodologies that pinpoint problems with cloud computing.

Keywords— Task Scheduling, Resource Allocation, Mobile Edge Computing, Cloud Computing, Deep Learning, Fog Computing.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Generally, software, storage, memory, and other computing resources are all available through the distributed computing system known as cloud computing [1]. Through the internet, it offers pay-per-use on-demand services [2]. The cloud services environments of network virtualization technologies are built and run using cloud computing [3, 4]. The cloud computing paradigm primarily focuses on how quickly and easily IT resources may be assigned, relieving end users of IT architecture and location issues [5]. Internet service providers are also referred to as Cloud consumers. The cloud service provider is in charge of handling and keeping cloud storage data, securing it, updating the software, lowering the cost of utilizing it, and enhancing the user experience [6].

To increase the utilization rate, cut down on makespan, and optimize the cloud services to prevent overloaded activities [7, 8]. Cloud computing manages the complexity of job scheduling in the virtual machine layer because of commercialization and virtualization [15]. Thus, scheduling is crucial in cloud computing to effectively and efficiently allocate resources to each activity [20]. The main issues with task scheduling include load balancing, scalability, dependability, performance, and developmental effects of resources on the computer nodes.

We must have a thorough understanding of the numerous issues related to various scheduling approaches as well as the challenges to be solved to design efficient scheduling algorithms. The goal of this study is to give a thorough assessment of task

Article Received: 25 August 2023 Revised: 10 October 2023 Accepted: 28 October 2023

scheduling techniques and the related metrics that are appropriate for cloud computing settings. To determine which qualities should be taken into account in a particular system and which ones should be disregarded, we have researched several distinctive scheduling processes as part of this work. Various viewpoints are used to organize the literature review.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The integration of the security framework into a Decentralized has aided in ensuring the development of the data. Aida et al [21] suggested the primary concern first executing a scheduling framework is a novel, effective method for servicing numerous customers with various jobs safely and effectively.

#### A. Fog computing based TS & RA

Adam A et al [5] suggest a safe computation offloading method for a fog-cloud IoT environment. We achieve effective, secure offloading in a fog-IoT environment using Machine Learning (ML) techniques. In the beginning, Lakhan, et al [26]

suggest a brand-new network of heterogeneous computing nodes and components called the Vehicular. The summary of TS and RA in fog computing is detailed in table.1.

Author	Year	Technique	Advantage	Disadvantage
Baniata, et al [14]	2021	PF-BTS scheduling model	Better cost, low energy consumption, and high overhead	More complex, higher execution time, and decrease data rate
Jiang, et al [18]	2020	Secure computing RA model	Better performance, and higher resource utilization	Fog computing is the major issue, and energy latency trade-off problem
Sujana, et al [22]	2019	Trust technique-based scheduling of stochastic workflows	Minimize system cost, reduce service delay and high makespan	High complexity, high delay, and higher computational time
Cao, et al [25]	2021	IoV design based on fog computing	High throughput and load balancing	Not suitable for three-layer networks, and low response time
Guevara, et al [28]	2021	TS in fog computing systems	High throughput, and greater scalability	Minimizes CPU utilization and low throughput

Table.1 Summary of RA and TS in fog computing

# B. Cloud computing and Multi-CC based TS & RA

Rani, et al [1] proposed efficient TS, that also permits even load sharing among nodes, it is possible to efficiently utilize these VM instances, improving response times. Dubey, et al [2] suggest a novel management scheme to support several enterprises of community cloud for safe cloud surroundings. Basu, et al [3] developed a significant field of research in CC TS, where effective algorithms are developed to allow service providers to assign work to a specific VM, improving both the performance and execution time of the activities. Ibrahim, et al [11] proposed cutting-edge TS heuristics are empirically compared to give readers a better understanding of how they perform. Muhammad, et al [13] examines and compares some of the most popular current scheduling methodologies. Tariq, et al [19] main goal is to examine how fuzzy logic is used in the most challenging CC research fields. To obtain the best schedule with the smallest makespan and cost, Sujana, et al [23] secured a scheduling method based on Smart PSO. Guo, et al [24] examines the topic of task distribution in an IoT edge-CC system that is both energy-efficient and delay-guaranteed. Mohiuddin, et al [27] developed a viable energy-saving strategy is the aggregation of VMs to reduce the overall number of active servers, but this requires effective resource management techniques. Sanaj, et al [29] propose a Chaotic Squirrel Search Algorithm (CSSA) in this research to schedule many tasks in a Platform as a Service (IaaS) cloud environment.

Qing-Hua, et al [12] developed a novel scheduling technology known as matching and Multi-Round Allocation (MMA) to maximize the number of iterations and overall cost for all allowing the project subject to security and reliability requirements in order to overcome trust restrictions in a heterogeneous multi-cloud environment. Bezdan, et al [16] offer the hybridized bat algorithm, a multi-objective work scheduling method based on swarm intelligence. Senthil Kumar, et al [32] proposes an efficient

Article Received: 25 August 2023 Revised: 10 October 2023 Accepted: 28 October 2023

multi-objective Hybrid GA-ACO (HGA-ACO) based work allocation method to handle the enormous cloud users' requests. The summary of multi-cloud based TS and RA is detailed in table.2.

Table.2 Summary of multi cloud computing in RA and TS

References	Writer	Method	Merit	Demerit
30	Shukri, et al	Improved multi-verse optimizer	Degree of imbalance and	High cost, convergence speed and
		for TS in CC environments	high response time	load balancing
37	Konjaang, et al	MOWOS	Minimize system cost, and	High complexity, delay, and
			reduce service delay	computational time
44	Abdullah, et al	Integrated MOPSO system for	Achieve stable results high	Not suitable for three layer
		TS in CC	throughput, and load	networks, low response time, and
			balancing	completion time
46	Albert, et al	Efficient KFCM and AFSO	More robust and fault-	Decreases execution time and
		based RA in CC	tolerant	extreme delays
53	Xingjuan, et al	Multi-objective intelligent	Reduce service delay, and	High complexity, delay, and
		algorithm for efficient TS in IoT	minimize execution time	computational time

# C. Mobile edge computing based TS & RA

Zhang, et al [9] introduce a novel security layer in this study along with a combined load balancing and Computation Offloading (CO) method for MEC systems. Bhalaji, et al [17] proposed MEC combined with the data is released process taking into account the power utilization and the reaction time to manage the massive data flow and have secure data utilization in the IoT. Paymard, et al [30] designed an effective algorithm to reduce the overall execution time of users in a single-cell, PD-NOMA-based MEC system with numerous users and a single MEC server. Saleem, et al [51] suggest device-to-device (D2D) collaboration-based mobile enduser task offloading (MEC) to speed up the task performance of mobile users. The details summary of MEC based TS and RA is detailed in table.3.

Table.3 Summary of MEC for RA and TS

	And the second little					
Year	Author	Technique	Benefits	Limitation		
2020	Elgendy, et al [10]	Efficient and Secure Multi-task	High energy consumption, faster	Reduce resource usage, low		
	The same of the sa	computation	response, and improved resource	makespan, and high complexity		
	-	in MEC	utilization			
2018	Ibrahim A, et al	Efficient and secured framework for	Minimize delay, and faster response	Increased energy consumption, high		
	[19]	MEC		complexity, and low turnaround		
				time		
2018	Kim, et al [31]	SAMS for trusting particular resource	Better efficiency in cloud computing	Not consider dynamic behavior, low		
	100	information on MEC	and reduce overall turnaround time	system loads and high complexity		
2021	Weidang, et al [33]	RA with optimization for secure	High accuracy, load balancing, and	Lower bandwidth, delay and energy		
		communications in MEC	memory	consumption		
2020	Saleem, et al [34]	Mobility-aware joint TS and RS for	Improved working efficiency, and	High complexity, delay, and		
		cooperative MEC	CPU utilization	computational time		

# III. CONCLUSION

The methods utilized in DL for TS and RA in CC are studied and addressed in this study, which also looks into DL and ML-based CC models. Additionally, consider the benefits and drawbacks of the most current articles using the TS, RA, and DL approaches. The dataset utilized for the dominant techniques is also provided while addressing the benefits and drawbacks of these strategies. As a result, the issue has arisen in the mentioned existing approaches and examined used datasets. When comparing the two methods, DL-based approaches produce superior outcomes. However, high cost, lengthy, low robustness, mistake rate, and communication overhead problems are the key problems for TS and RA.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Rani, T. Sunitha and Dr Shyamala Kannan, "Task scheduling on virtual machines using BAT strategy for efficient utilization of resources in cloud environment," International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, vol.12.17, pp.6663-6669, 2017.
- [2] K.Dubey, M.Y.Shams, S.C.Sharma, A.Alarifi, M.Amoon and A.A.Nasr, "A management system for servicing multi-organizations on community cloud model in secure cloud environment" IEEE access, vol.7, pp.159535-159546,2019.
- [3] Basu, Srijita and Abhishek Anand, "Location based secured task scheduling in cloud," Information and Communication Technology for Intelligent Systems. Springer, Singapore, pp. 61-69,2019.

Article Received: 25 August 2023 Revised: 10 October 2023 Accepted: 28 October 2023

[4] Yadav, Arun Kumar, Rajendra Kumar Bharti and Ram Shringar Raw, "SA2-MCD: secured architecture for allocation of virtual machine in multitenant cloud databases," Big Data Research, vol. 24, pp.100187,2021.

- [5] Alli, A.Adam and Muhammad Mahbub Alam, "SecOFF-FCIoT: Machine learning based secure offloading in Fog-Cloud of things for smart city applications," Internet of Things, vol. 7 pp.100070,2019.
- [6] D.Dhanya and D. Arivudainambi, "Dolphin partner optimization based secure and qualified virtual machine for resource allocation with streamline security analysis," Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications, vol.12.5,pp. 1194-1213,2019.
- [7] S.Rajasoundaran, A. V.Prabu, S.Routray, S. S.Kumar, P. P.Malla, S.Maloji and U.Ghosh, "Machine learning based deep job exploration and secure transactions in virtual private cloud systems," Computers & Security, vol.109, pp.102379, 2021.
- [8] Singh, Harvinder, Anshu Bhasin and Parag Kaveri, "SECURE: Efficient resource scheduling by swarm in cloud computing," Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography, vol. 22.2,pp. 127-137, 2019.
- [9] W. Z.Zhang, I. A.Elgendy, M.Hammad, A. M.Iliyasu, X.Du, M.Guizani and A. A.Abd El-Latif, "Secure and optimized load balancing for multitier IoT and edge-cloud computing systems," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol.8(10), pp.8119-8132, 2020.
- [10] I.A.Elgendy, W.Z.Zhang, Y.Zeng, H.He, Y.C. Tian and Y.Yang, "Efficient and secure multi-user multi-task computation offloading for mobile-edge computing in mobile IoT networks." IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, vol.17(4), pp.2410-2422,2020.
- [11] M.Ibrahim, S.Nabi, A.Baz, N.Naveed and H.Alhakami, "Towards a task and resource aware task scheduling in cloud computing: An experimental comparative evaluation," International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing, vol.8(3), pp.131-138, 2020.
- [12] Q.H.Zhu, H.Tang, J.J. Huang and Y.Hou, "Task scheduling for multi-cloud computing subject to security and reliability constraints," IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, vol.8(4), pp.848-865,2021.
- [13] M.Ibrahim, S.Nabi, A.Baz, H.Alhakami, M.S.Raza, A.Hussain, K. Salah and K.Djemame, "An in-depth empirical investigation of state-of-the-art scheduling approaches for cloud computing," IEEE Access, vol.8, pp.128282-128294,2020.
- [14] Baniata, Hamza, Ahmad Anaqreh and Attila Kertesz, "PF-BTS: A Privacy-Aware Fog-enhanced Blockchain-assisted task scheduling," Information Processing & Management, vol. 58.1,pp. 102393,2021.
- [15] Elgendy, Ibrahim A., et al. "An efficient and secured framework for mobile cloud computing." IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing 9.1 (2018): 79-87.
- [16] Bezdan, Timea, "Multi-objective task scheduling in cloud computing environment by hybridized bat algorithm," Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, vol. 42.1, pp.411-423, 2022.
- [17] N.Bhalaji, "Efficient and secure data utilization in mobile edge computing by data replication," Journal of ISMAC, vol. 2.01, pp. 1-12, 2020.
- [18] J.Jiang, L.Tang, K.Gu and W.Jia, "Secure computing resource allocation framework for open fog computing," The Computer Journal, vol.63(4), pp.567-592 2020
- [19] M.I.Tariq, S.Tayyaba, N.Ali Mian, M.S.Sarfraz, A.Hussain, M.Imran, E.Pricop, O.Cangea and N.Paraschiv, "An analysis of the application of fuzzy logic in cloud computing," Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, vol.38(5), pp.5933-5947,2020.
- [20] H.Jiang, J.Yi, S.Chen and X.Zhu, "A multi-objective algorithm for task scheduling and resource allocation in cloud-based disassembly," Journal of Manufacturing Systems, vol.41, pp.239-255,2016.
- [21] A.A.Nasr, K.Dubey, N.A.El-Bahnasawy, S.C.Sharma, G.Attiya and A.El-Sayed, "HPFE: a new secure framework for serving multi-users with multi-tasks in public cloud without violating SLA," Neural Computing and Applications, vol.32, pp.6821-6841,2020.
- [22] J.Angela Jennifa Sujana, M.Geethanjali, R. Venitta Raj and T.Revathi, "Trust model based scheduling of stochastic workflows in cloud and fog computing," Cloud Computing for Geospatial Big Data Analytics: Intelligent Edge, Fog and Mist Computing, pp.29-54,2019.
- [23] J.A.J.Sujana, T.Revathi, T.S. Priya and K.Muneeswaran, "Smart PSO-based secured scheduling approaches for scientific workflows in cloud computing," Soft Computing, vol.23, pp.1745-1765,2019.
- [24] Guo, Mian, Lei Li and Quansheng Guan, "Energy-efficient and delay-guaranteed workload allocation in IoT-edge-cloud computing systems," IEEE Access, vol.7,pp. 78685-78697,2019.
- [25] B.Cao, Z. Sun, J. Zhang and Y.Gu, "Resource allocation in 5G IoV architecture based on SDN and fog-cloud computing," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol.22(6), pp.3832-3840,2021.
- [26] A.Lakhan, M.Ahmad, M.Bilal, A. Jolfaei and R.M.Mehmood, "Mobility aware blockchain enabled offloading and scheduling in vehicular fog cloud computing," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, vol.22(7), pp.4212-4223,2021.
- [27] I.Mohiuddin, A.Almogren, M.Al Qurishi, M.M. Hassan, I.Al Rassan and G.Fortino, "Secure distributed adaptive bin packing algorithm for cloud storage," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol.90, pp.307-316,2019.
- [28] Guevara, C.Judy and LS Nelson da Fonseca, "Task scheduling in cloud-fog computing systems," Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications, vol.14.2, pp. 962-977,2021.
- [29] M. S.Sanaj and PM Joe Prathap, "Nature inspired chaotic squirrel search algorithm (CSSA) for multi objective task scheduling in an IAAS cloud computing atmosphere," Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, vol.23.4, pp.891-902,2020.
- [30] Paymard, Pouria, Sepehr Rezvani and Nader Mokari, "Joint task scheduling and uplink/downlink radio resource allocation in PD-NOMA based mobile edge computing networks," Physical Communication, vol.32,pp. 160-171, 2019.
- [31] Kim, Hyun-Woo and Young-Sik Jeong, "Secure authentication-management human-centric scheme for trusting personal resource information on mobile cloud computing with blockchain," Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences, vol.8.1,pp. 1-13,2018.
- [32] A. M.Senthil Kumar and M. Venkatesan, "Multi-objective task scheduling using hybrid genetic-ant colony optimization algorithm in cloud environment," Wireless Personal Communications, vol.107.4,pp. 1835-1848,2019.
- [33] Lu, Weidang, "Resource and trajectory optimization for secure communications in dual unmanned aerial vehicle mobile edge computing systems," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol.18.4, pp. 2704-2713,2021.
- [34] U.Saleem, Y.Liu, S.Jangsher, Y.Li and T.Jiang, "Mobility-aware joint task scheduling and resource allocation for cooperative mobile edge computing," IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol.20(1), pp.360-374,2020.