

Cap Like and Star like Complex Functions

Dr. T. Ravi

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR (contract basis) in MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (K.U.),
KOTHAGUDEM-507101, TELANGANA, INDIA.
Mobile: 9701409620,
E-Mail address: tandraravi@gmail.com & tandraravi@yahoo.in

Abstract: Main aim of this article is the discussion of Univalent complex functions, Cap like complex functions, and star like complex functions.

Keywords: *Univalent function; BEIRBARBACH Conjecture; Cap like function; Star like function; KOEBE's function; HADAMARD Product (Convolution).*

Introduction: We know that a complex valued function is said to be regular or analytic in a domain \mathbf{D} (a non-empty open connected subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C}) if it has a uniquely determined derivative at each point of \mathbf{D} .

Definition 1: A function $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is said to be a univalent in a domain \mathbf{D} if $\mathbf{f}(z_1) \neq \mathbf{f}(z_2)$ for all $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset \mathbf{D}$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$.

A necessary condition for analytic function $\mathbf{f}(z)$ to be univalent in \mathbf{D} is $\mathbf{f}'(z) \neq 0$ in \mathbf{D} . This condition is not sufficient since $\mathbf{f}(z) = e^z$ is clearly not univalent since $\mathbf{f}(0) = e^0 = 1 = e^{i2\pi} = \mathbf{f}(i2\pi)$ but $\mathbf{f}'(z) = e^z \neq 0$.

By Riemann mapping theorem, one function may map any simply connected domain onto the open unit disc in a one-one conformal manner. Hence, without loss of generality, we confine our attention to the functions that are univalent and analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$.

Notation: We denote by \mathbf{U} the class of functions $\mathbf{f}(z)$ that are analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ and are univalent in an open disc $\{z / |z| < r \leq 1\}$ with the conditions $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$.

BEIRBARBACH Conjecture: In 1916, BEIRBERBACH proved that $|a_2| \leq 2$ for every $\mathbf{f}(z)$ in \mathbf{U} whose Taylor's expansion about the origin is $\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. He also showed that $|a_2| = 2$ for the function $\mathbf{f}(z) = z(1 - \kappa z)^{-2}$, $|\kappa| = 1$, which is known as KOEBE's function. Note that singularity of KOEBE's function is $z = \kappa^{-1}$ which is outside the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ since $|z| = |\kappa^{-1}| = |\kappa|^{-1} = 1$; thus KOEBE's function is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$. And $\mathbf{f}'(z) = z(-2)(1 - \kappa z)^{-3}(-\kappa) + 1(-2)(1 - \kappa z)^{-2}$ implies $\mathbf{f}'(0) = 0(-2)(1 - \kappa 0)^{-3}(-\kappa) + 1(1 - \kappa 0)^{-2} = 1$.

Clearly $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0$. So KOEBE's function is in \mathbf{U} .

Motivated by the extremal property of the KOEBE's function, BEIRBERBACH conjectured that $|a_n| \leq n$ ($n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) for every $\mathbf{f}(z)$ in \mathbf{U} . This is known as BEIRBERBACH conjecture which is a challenging problem in mathematics that took almost 70 years to prove it. In 1985, LOUIS BRANZES has proved the conjecture in full.

Definition 2 : n -th partial sum of the function $\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is $s_n(z, \mathbf{f}) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k$.

Theorem 1 : Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$, and $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is univalent in the disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$, then $s_n(z, \mathbf{f})$ is analytic in disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $s_n(0, \mathbf{f}) = 0, s'_n(0, \mathbf{f}) = 1$, and is univalent function in $|z| < 1 - 3^{-1}\sqrt{6} < 1$ forall integers $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$.

Proof : Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \Rightarrow s_n(z, \mathbf{f}) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k.$$

$\Rightarrow s_n(z, \mathbf{f})$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $s_n(0, \mathbf{f}) = 0, s'_n(0, \mathbf{f}) = 1$,

Let $z_1 \neq z_2$. Then $z_1^k \neq z_2^k$. Then $a_k z_1^k \neq a_k z_2^k$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$). But the inequality

$z_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_1^k \neq z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_2^k$ may or may not hold. So we can do some work.

Since $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow |z_1 - z_2| \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < |z_1 - z_2|$.

Let $\rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq r - \rho = |z_2| - |z_1| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ by triangle inequality.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f}) &= [z_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_1^k] - [z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_2^k] \\ &= z_1 - z_2 + [\sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_1^k - \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z_2^k] = z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality, $|z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$

$$\Rightarrow |s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f})| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$$

Again by triangle inequality, and by BEIRBERBACH conjecture $|a_k| \leq k$ since $\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{U}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| = \sum_{k=2}^n |a_k| |z_1^k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^n k [|z_1^k| + |z_2^k|] \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n k [|z_1|^k + |z_2|^k] \leq \sum_{k=2}^n k [r^k + r^k] \end{aligned}$$

since $|z_1| \leq |z_2| = r$.

$$i.e. \quad |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq \sum_{k=2}^n k 2 r^k = 2r \sum_{k=2}^n k r^{k-1} = 2r \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{d}{dr} r^k = 2r \frac{d}{dr} \sum_{k=2}^n r^k$$

$$i.e. \quad |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r \frac{d}{dr} \left[-1 - r + \sum_{k=0}^n r^k \right] = 2r \frac{d}{dr} \left[-1 - r + \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r} \right]$$

$$i.e. \quad |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r \left[0 - 1 + \frac{(1-r)[0 - (n+1)r^n] - (1-r^{n+1})(-1)}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

$$i.e. \quad |\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r \left[-1 + \frac{-(n+1)(1-r)r^n + 1 - r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow -|\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq -2r \left[-1 + \frac{-(n+1)(1-r)r^n + 1 - r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

$$i.e. \quad -|\sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq 2r \left[1 + \frac{(n+1)(1-r)r^n - 1 + r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

$$i.e. - \left| \sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \right| \geq 2r \left[1 + \frac{(n+1)(1-r)r^n + r^{n+1}}{(1-r)^2} - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right] \geq 2r \left[1 + 0 - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

since $0 < r < 1 \Rightarrow -r > -1 \Rightarrow 1-r > 1-1 = 0$.

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f})| &\geq r - \rho - \left| \sum_{k=2}^n a_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \right| \\ &\geq r - \rho + 2r \left[1 - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right] = 3r - \rho - \frac{2r}{(1-r)^2} = r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \right] - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \right] - \rho > 0 \Leftrightarrow r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \right] > \rho \geq 0$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho < r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \right] &\Rightarrow 3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} > 0 \Rightarrow 3 > \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \\ \Rightarrow (1-r)^2 > \frac{2}{3} &\Rightarrow 1-r > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} > r \Rightarrow r < 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} = c \end{aligned}$$

$$i.e. 0 \leq \rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < c = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} = \frac{3-\sqrt{6}}{3} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f})| > r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^2} \right] - \rho > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow |s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f})| \neq 0 \Rightarrow s_n(z_1, \mathbf{f}) - s_n(z_2, \mathbf{f}) \neq 0.$$

Hence $s_n(z, \mathbf{f})$ is univalent function in the disc $|z| < c$ for all n . //

Definition 3 : A function $\mathbf{f}(z)$ that is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0$, $\mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$ is said to be *cap like function* if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z \mathbf{f}''(z)}{\mathbf{f}'(z)} \right] > 0, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Definition 4 : A function $\mathbf{f}(z)$ that is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ and univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < c \leq 1\}$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0$, $\mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$ is said to be *star like function* in the open disc $\{z / |z| < c \leq 1\}$ if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z \mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)} \right] > 0, \quad |z| < c.$$

Theorem 2 : If $\mathbf{f}(z) \in U$, then $|a_k| \leq 1$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) where a_k is coefficient of z^k in Taylor series of $\mathbf{f}(z)$; also if $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is cap like function, then $z\mathbf{f}'(z)$ is star like in $\{z / |z| < c \leq 1\}$,

$$c = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} = \frac{3-\sqrt{6}}{3}.$$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{U} \Rightarrow |a_k| \leq k \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$

Put $\mathbf{g}(z) = z\mathbf{f}'(z)$ where $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is analytic in unit open disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1$.

Taylor series of $\mathbf{f}(z)$ about the origin is $\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$

$$\Rightarrow z\mathbf{f}'(z) = z[1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z^{k-1}] = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad \text{where } b_k = ka_k$$

Let $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow |z_1 - z_2| \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < |z_1 - z_2|$.

Let $\rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq r - \rho = |z_2| - |z_1| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ by triangle inequality.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2) &= z_1 \mathbf{f}'(z_1) - z_2 \mathbf{f}'(z_2) \\ &= [z_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z_1^k] - [z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z_2^k] \\ &= z_1 - z_2 + [\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z_1^k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k z_2^k] = z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality, $|z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$

$$\Rightarrow |\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$$

Again by triangle inequality, and by BEIRBERBACH conjecture since

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{k=2}^n ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^n |ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| = \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^n k k [|z_1^k| + |z_2^k|] \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n k^2 [|z_1|^k + |z_2|^k] \leq \sum_{k=2}^n k^2 [r^k + r^k]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^k = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(k-1) + k] r^k = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)r^k + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^k$$

$$\text{i.e. } |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)r^{k-2} + 2r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1}$$

$$\text{i.e. } |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r^k + 2r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dr} r^k = 2r^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k + 2r \frac{d}{dr} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k$$

$$\text{i.e. } |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left[-1 - r + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \right] + 2r \frac{d}{dr} \left[-1 - r + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \right]$$

$$\text{i.e. } |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r^2 \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \left[-1 - r + \frac{1}{1-r} \right] + 2r \frac{d}{dr} \left[-1 - r + \frac{1}{1-r} \right]$$

$$\text{i.e. } |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r^2 \left[0 + \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] + 2r \left[-1 + \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right] = 2r \left[\frac{2r}{(1-r)^3} - 1 + \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow -|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq 2r \left[-\frac{2r}{(1-r)^3} + 1 - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right] = 2r \left[1 - \frac{1-r+2r}{(1-r)^3} \right] = 2r \left[1 - \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^3} \right]$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| &\geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \\ &\geq r - \rho + 2r \left[1 - \frac{1+r}{(1-r)^3} \right] = r + 2r - \frac{2r(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} - \rho = r \left[3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$r \left[3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho > 0 \Leftrightarrow r \left[3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \right] > \rho \geq 0$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho < r \left[3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \right] &\Rightarrow 3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} > 0 \Rightarrow 3 > \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \\ \Rightarrow 3(1-r)^3 > 2(1+r) \quad (0 < r < 1) &\Rightarrow -r > -1 \Rightarrow 1-r > 1-1=0 \\ \Rightarrow 3[1-r^3 - 3r(1-r)] > 2+2r &\Rightarrow 3-3r^3-9r+9r^2 > 2+2r \\ \Rightarrow 1-11r+9r^2-3r^3 &> 0 \\ \Rightarrow 1-11\frac{1}{R}+9\frac{1}{R^2}-3\frac{1}{R^3} &> 0 \quad (rR=1, \text{ where } R > 1 \text{ since } r < 1) \\ \Rightarrow R^3 - 11R^2 + 9R - 3 > 0 &\Rightarrow R^3 - 11R^2 + 9R > 3 \Rightarrow R(R^2 - 11R + 9) > 3 \\ \Rightarrow R \left[R - \frac{-(-11) - \sqrt{(-11)^2 - 4(1)9}}{2} \right] \left[R - \frac{-(-11) + \sqrt{(-11)^2 - 4(1)9}}{2} \right] &> 3 \\ \Rightarrow R \left[R - \frac{11 - \sqrt{121-36}}{2} \right] \left[R - \frac{11 + \sqrt{121-36}}{2} \right] &> 3 \\ \Rightarrow R \left[R - \frac{11 - \sqrt{121-36}}{2} \right] \left[R - \frac{11 + \sqrt{121-36}}{2} \right] &> 3 \\ \Rightarrow R \left[R - \frac{11 - \sqrt{85}}{2} \right] \left[R - \frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{2} \right] &> 3 > 0 \quad (\sqrt{85} \approx 9.2195) \\ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{Either } R < \frac{11 - \sqrt{85}}{2} \approx \frac{11 - 9.2195}{2} = \frac{2.2195}{2} = 1.10975, \\ \text{or } R > \frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{2} \approx \frac{11 + 9.2195}{2} = \frac{20.2195}{2} = 10.10975 \end{cases} & \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < R < \frac{11 - \sqrt{85}}{2} < \frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{2} &\quad i.e. \quad 1 < R < 1.10975 < 10.10975 \\ \Rightarrow 0 < 1.10975 - R < 1.10975 - 1 = 0.10975, \quad 0 < 10.10975 - R < 10.10975 - 1 = 9.10975 & \\ \Rightarrow 0 < [1.10975 - R][10.10975 - R] < (0.10975)(9.10975) = 0.999795 & \\ \Rightarrow 0 < R[R - 1.10975][R - 10.10975] < 1.10975(0.999795) = 1.10952257 < 3 & \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction. Thus our supposition is wrong. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} R > \frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{2} &\Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} > \frac{11 + \sqrt{85}}{2} \Rightarrow r < \frac{2}{11 + \sqrt{85}} = \frac{2(11 - \sqrt{85})}{121 - 85} = \frac{2(11 - \sqrt{85})}{36} \\ i.e. \quad 0 \leq \rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < \frac{11 - \sqrt{85}}{18} &\approx 0.0989 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$|\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq r \left[3 - \frac{2(1+r)}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho > 0$$

i.e. $\mathbf{g}(z_1) \neq \mathbf{g}(z_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}(z)$ is univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < c < 1\}$.

Thus $\mathbf{g}(z) = z\mathbf{f}'(z) \in \mathbf{U} \Rightarrow |b_k| \leq k \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ by BEIRBERBACH conjecture.

$\Rightarrow |ka_k| \leq k \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots) \Rightarrow |a_k| \leq 1 \quad (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$.

Again consider

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{k=2}^n ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^n k |a_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^n k 1 [|z_1^k| + |z_2^k|] \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n k [|z_1|^k + |z_2|^k] \leq \sum_{k=2}^n k [r^k + r^k]. \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k 2r^k = 2r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1}$

i.e. $|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dr} r^k = 2r \frac{d}{dr} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k = 2r \frac{d}{dr} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k - 1 - r \right] = 2r \left[\frac{1}{(1-r)^2} - 1 \right]$

$\Rightarrow -|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq 2r \left[1 - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right]$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| &\geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} ka_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \\ &\geq r - \rho + 2r \left[1 - \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \right] = r + 2r - \frac{2r}{(1-r)^2} - \rho = r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho > 0 \Leftrightarrow r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] > \rho \geq 0$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho < r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] &\Rightarrow 3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} > 0 \Rightarrow 3 > \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \\ \Rightarrow (1-r)^2 > \frac{2}{3} \quad (0 < r < 1) &\Rightarrow -r > -1 \Rightarrow 1-r > 1-1=0 \\ \Rightarrow 1-r > \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} &\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} > r \Rightarrow r < 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} = 0.1835 < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 \leq \rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < c < 1$, we have

$$|\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq r \left[3 - \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} \right] - \rho > 0 \quad c = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} = \frac{3-\sqrt{6}}{3}$$

Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is cap like function.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow &\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 < \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z\mathbf{f}''(z)}{\mathbf{f}'(z)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\mathbf{f}'(z) + z\mathbf{f}''(z)}{\mathbf{f}'(z)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{\mathbf{f}'(z)} \frac{d}{dz} [z\mathbf{f}'(z)] \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{z\mathbf{f}'(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [\mathbf{f}'(z)] \right], \\ \text{and } \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ is analytic in the open unit disc } \{z / |z| < 1\} \text{ with } \mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1. \end{array} \right. \\ \Rightarrow &\mathbf{g}'(z) = z\mathbf{f}''(z) + \mathbf{f}'(z) \text{ will exist since } \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ is analytic, and } \mathbf{g}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 0 \times 1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow &\mathbf{g}'(0) = 0\mathbf{f}''(0) + \mathbf{f}'(0) = \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{g}(z) \text{ is analytic in the open unit disc } \{z / |z| < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbf{g}(z) = z\mathbf{f}'(z)$ is star like function is in the disc $|z| < c < 1$. //

Problemem : Let $f(z)$ is star like function. Then

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{z f(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [z f(z)] \right]$$

and $z f(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$, but $z f(z)$ is not univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < r \leq 1\}$, thus $z f(z)$ is not star like function.

Proof : Let $f(z)$ is star like function.

$$\Rightarrow 0 < \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] < \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] + 1 = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f'(z) + f(z)}{f(z)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f'(z) + f(z)}{f(z)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{f(z)} \frac{d}{dz} [z f(z)] \right]$$

$f(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$,
 and $f(z)$ is univalent in an open disc $\{z / |z| < r < 1\}$

Put $g(z) = z f(z)$

$\Rightarrow g'(z) = z f'(z) + f(z)$ will exist since $f(z)$ is analytic, and $g(0) = 0$, $f(0) = 0$

$\Rightarrow g'(0) = 0 f'(0) + f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ and $g(z)$ is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$.

But $g(z) = z f(z)$ is not star like function since $g'(0) \neq 1$.

Taylor series of $f(z)$ about the origin is $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$

$$\Rightarrow z f(z) = z \left[z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right] = z^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} g(z_1) - g(z_2) &= z_1 f(z_1) - z_2 f(z_2) = \left[z_1^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_1^{k+1} \right] - \left[z_2^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_2^{k+1} \right] \\ &= z_1^2 - z_2^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_1^{k+1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_2^{k+1} = z_1^2 - z_2^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k [z_1^{k+1} - z_2^{k+1}] \end{aligned}$$

Let $z_1 \neq z_2$ in the open disc $\{z / |z| < r \leq 1\}$ such that $z_1 = -z_2 \Rightarrow z_1^2 = z_2^2$

$\Rightarrow g(z_1) - g(z_2) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_1^{k+1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z_2^{k+1}$ may or may not be 0.

$\Rightarrow g(z)$ is not univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < r \leq 1\}$. //

Definition 5 : A function $f(z)$ that is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$ is said to be *cap like function of order $\alpha(c)$* if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] > \alpha(c), \quad |z| < c \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha(c) < 1.$$

Definition 6 : A function $f(z)$ that is analytic in the open unit disc $\{z / |z| < 1\}$ and univalent in open disc $\{z / |z| < c \leq 1\}$ with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$ is said to be *star like function of order $\alpha(c)$* if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > \alpha(c), \quad |z| < c \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha(c) < 1.$$

Theorem 3 : If $f(z)$ is star like function of order $\alpha = 1$, then $(z + 1)f(z)$ is star like function of order $\alpha = c \leq 0.5$

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$ is star like function of order $\alpha = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{z\mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)}\right] > 1, \\ \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ is analytic in the open unit disc } \{z/|z| < 1\} \text{ with } \mathbf{f}(0) = 0, \mathbf{f}'(0) = 1 \\ \text{and } \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ is univalent in the open disc } \{z/|z| < r \leq 1\} \end{cases}.$$

Put $\mathbf{g}(z) = (z+1)\mathbf{f}(z) \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{g}'(z) = (z+1)\mathbf{f}'(z) + \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ will exist since } \mathbf{f}(z) \text{ is analytic,} \\ \text{and } \mathbf{g}(0) = 1\mathbf{f}(0) = 1 \times 0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{g}'(0) = (0+1)\mathbf{f}'(0) + \mathbf{f}(0) = \mathbf{f}'(0) + 0 = 1, \\ \text{and } \mathbf{g}(z) \text{ is analytic in the open unit disc } \{z/|z| < 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Taylor series of $\mathbf{f}(z)$ about the origin is $\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$

$$\Rightarrow z\mathbf{f}(z) = z[z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k] = z^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (z+1)\mathbf{f}(z) &= z^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+1} + z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\ &= z + z^2 + \sum_{k=1=2}^{\infty} a_{k-1} z^{k-1+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \\ &= z + z^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_{k-1} z^k + a_2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z + (1+a_2)z^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (a_{k-1} + a_k)z^k \end{aligned}$$

Put $1+a_2 = b_2, a_{k-1} + a_k = b_k (k = 3, 4, 5, \dots)$.

Thus $\mathbf{g}(z) = (z+1)\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$.

Let $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow |z_1 - z_2| \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < |z_1 - z_2|$.

Let $\rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq r - \rho = |z_2| - |z_1| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ by triangle inequality.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2) &= (z_1+1)\mathbf{f}'(z_1) - (z_2+1)\mathbf{f}'(z_2) \\ &= [z_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z_1^k] - [z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z_2^k] \\ &= z_1 - z_2 + [\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z_1^k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z_2^k] = z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality, $|z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$

$$\Rightarrow |\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$$

Consider

$$|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| [|z_1|^k + |z_2|^k] < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| [r^k + r^k] = 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k.$$

Note that $\mathbf{f}(z) \in \mathbf{U} \Rightarrow |a_k| \leq 1 (k = 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ by Theorem 2. Then

$$|b_2| = |1+a_2| \leq 1+|a_2| \leq 1+1=2, \quad |b_k| = |a_{k-1} + a_k| \leq |a_{k-1}| + |a_k| \leq 1+1=2.$$

Thus we have

$$|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| r^k \leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2 r^k = 4 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k$$

Thus we have

$$|\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - 4 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k$$

$$i.e. \quad |\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq r - \rho - 4 \frac{r^2}{1-r} = r \left[1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \right] - \rho$$

Observe that

$$r \left[1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \right] - \rho > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r \left[1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \right] > \rho \geq 0$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho < r \left[1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \right] &\Rightarrow 1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} > 0 \Rightarrow 1 > 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - r > 4r \quad (0 < r < 1) &\Rightarrow -r > -1 \Rightarrow 1 - r > 1 - 1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow 1 > 5r &\Rightarrow 5r < 1 \Rightarrow r < 0.2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 \leq \rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 0.2$, we have

$$|\mathbf{g}(z_1) - \mathbf{g}(z_2)| \geq r - \rho - 4 \frac{r^2}{1-r} = r \left[1 - 4 \frac{r}{1-r} \right] - \rho > 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{g}(z_1) \neq \mathbf{g}(z_2)$$

Thus $\mathbf{g}(z)$ is univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < 0.2 < 1\}$.

We have

$$\frac{1}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)] = \frac{z[(z+1)\mathbf{f}'(z) + \mathbf{f}(z)]}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} = \frac{(z+1)z\mathbf{f}'(z) + z\mathbf{f}(z)}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} = \frac{z\mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)} + \frac{z}{z+1}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z\mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)} + \frac{z}{z+1} \right] &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z\mathbf{f}'(z)}{\mathbf{f}(z)} \right] + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z}{z+1} \right] > 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z}{z+1} \right] = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z+1-1}{z+1} \right] \\ i.e. \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} \frac{d}{dz} [(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)] \right] &> 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[1 - \frac{1}{z+1} \right] = 1 + 1 - \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{z+1} \right] \\ i.e. \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)] \right] &> 2 - \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{x+iy+1} \right] = 2 - \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1+x-iy}{(1+x)^2+y^2} \right] \\ i.e. \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)] \right] &> 2 - \frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2+y^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let $|z| < c < 1 \Rightarrow |z|^2 < c^2 < 1 \Rightarrow x^2 + y^2 < c^2 < 1$

$$\Rightarrow x^2 < x^2 + y^2 < c^2 < 1 \Rightarrow -1 < -c < x < c < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < 1 - c < 1 + x < 1 + c < 2$$

But $0 < (1+x)^2 < (1+x)^2 + y^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(1+x)^2 + y^2} &< \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} \Rightarrow \frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2 + y^2} < \frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1}{1+x} < \frac{1}{1-c} \\ \Rightarrow -\frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2 + y^2} &> -\frac{1}{1-c} \Rightarrow 2 - \frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2 + y^2} > 2 - \frac{1}{1-c} = \frac{2-2c-1}{1-c} = \frac{1-2c}{1-c} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{1}{(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)} z \frac{d}{dz} [(z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)] \right] > 2 - \frac{1+x}{(1+x)^2 + y^2} > \frac{1-2c}{1-c} \geq 0.$$

for $1 - 2c \geq 0$ or $1 \geq 2c$ or $2c \leq 1$ or $c \leq 0.5 < 1$.

$$c = 0.2 < 0.5 < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1-2c}{1-c} = \frac{1-2(0.2)}{1-0.2} = \frac{0.6}{0.8} = \frac{3}{4}$$

Hence $\mathbf{g}(z) = (z+1)\mathbf{f}(z)$ is star like function of order $\alpha = 4^{-1}3$

in the open disc $|z| < 0.2 < 1.$ //

Definition 6: Hadamard product (Convolution) of two analytic functions $\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in the open disc $|z| < r_1$ and $\mathbf{g}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ in the open disc $|z| < r_2$ is denoted by $\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g}$ and is defined as an analytic function $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$ in the open disc $|z| < r_1 r_2$.

Theorem 4 : Let $\mathbf{L}(z) = z(1-z)^{-1}$. Then $s_n(z, \mathbf{L})$ ($n=2,3,4,\dots$) is cap like function in disc $|z| < 0.25$

Proof : $L(z) = z(1-z)^{-1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^{k-1+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$

where $a_k = 1$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_n(z, \mathbf{L}) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^n z^k = \sum_{k=1}^n z^k = \sum_{k+1=1}^n z^{k+1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^{k+1} = z \frac{1-z^n}{1-z} \\
 \Rightarrow s'_n(z, \mathbf{L}) &= \frac{(1-z)[1-(n+1)z^n] - (0-1)[z - z^{n+1}]}{(1-z)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1 - z - (n+1)z^n + (n+1)z^{n+1} + z - z^{n+1}}{(1-z)^2} = \frac{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}}{(1-z)^2} \\
 \Rightarrow \log s'_n(z, \mathbf{L}) &= \log[1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}] - 2\log(1-z)
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking the derivative on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})} &= \frac{0 - (n+1)nz^{n-1} + n(n+1)z^n}{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}} - 2 \frac{-1}{1-z} = \frac{(n+1)nz^{n-1}[-1+z]}{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}} + \frac{2}{1-z} \\ \Rightarrow z \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})} &= \frac{(n+1)nz^n[-1+z]}{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}} + \frac{2z}{1-z} = \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} + \frac{2z}{1-z} \\ \Rightarrow 1 + z \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})} &= 1 + \frac{(n+1)nz^n[-1+z]}{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}} + \frac{2z}{1-z} = \frac{(n+1)nz^n[-1+z]}{1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notations, put

$$\begin{aligned} N(z) &= (n+1)nz^n[-1+z], \quad D(z) = 1 - (n+1)z^n + nz^{n+1}, \quad \frac{1+z}{1-z} = w = u + iv \\ \Rightarrow \quad 1 + z \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})} &= \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} + w \\ \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{Re} \left[1 + z \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})} \right] &= \mathbf{Re} \left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} + w \right] = \mathbf{Re} \left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right] + \mathbf{Re} w = \mathbf{Re} \left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right] + u \quad \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$w = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w - wz = 1 + z \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w - 1 = z + wz \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w - 1 = (1+w)z$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& |z| = \frac{1}{4} \Leftrightarrow \left| \frac{w-1}{w+1} \right| = \frac{1}{4} \Leftrightarrow 4|w-1| = |w+1| \\
\Leftrightarrow & 4|u+iv-1| = |u+iv+1| \Leftrightarrow 16|u-1+iv|^2 = |u+1+iv|^2 \\
\Leftrightarrow & 16[(u-1)^2 + v^2] = [(u+1)^2 + v^2] \Leftrightarrow 16[u^2 - 2u + 1 + v^2] = [u^2 + 2u + 1 + v^2] \\
\Leftrightarrow & 16u^2 - 32u + 16 + 16v^2 = u^2 + 2u + 1 + v^2 \Leftrightarrow 15u^2 - 34u + 15 + 15v^2 = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & u^2 - \frac{34}{15}u + 1 + v^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow u^2 - 2\frac{17}{15}u + \left(\frac{17}{15}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{17}{15}\right)^2 + 1 + v^2 = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \left(u - \frac{17}{15}\right)^2 + v^2 = \frac{289}{225} - 1 = \frac{289 - 225}{225} = \frac{64}{225} = \left(\frac{8}{15}\right)^2. \\
& \mathbf{\max} \left(u - \frac{17}{15} \right)^2 = \mathbf{\max} \left[\left(\frac{8}{15} \right)^2 - v^2 \right] = \left(\frac{8}{15} \right)^2 \quad i.e. \quad \mathbf{\max} \text{ will exist at } v=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \left(u - \frac{17}{15} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{8}{15} \right)^2 \Rightarrow u - \frac{17}{15} = \pm \frac{8}{15} \Rightarrow u = \frac{17}{15} \pm \frac{8}{15} \\
\Rightarrow & u = \frac{17}{15} + \frac{8}{15} = \frac{25}{15} \text{ or } u = \frac{17}{15} - \frac{8}{15} = \frac{9}{15}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it is clear that the Möbius (Bilinear) transformation

$$w = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

maps the circle $|z| = 4^{-1}$ in xy -plane into the the circle

$$\left(u - \frac{17}{15}\right)^2 + v^2 = \left(\frac{8}{15}\right)^2$$

in uv -plane such that the line segment AB on u -axis ($v=0$) is a diameter where

$$A = \left(\frac{9}{15}, 0 \right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}, 0 \right), \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left(\frac{25}{15}, 0 \right) = \left(\frac{5}{3}, 0 \right).$$

Observe that $|N(z)| = |(n+1)n\zeta^n[-1+z]| = (n+1)n|z^n| |-1+z| \leq (n+1)n|z|^n [1 + |z|]$

$$\Rightarrow |N(z)| \leq (n+1)n|z|^n[1+|z|] \leq (n+1)n(4^{-1})^n[1+4^{-1}] = (n+1)n4^{-n-1}[4+1]$$

$$\Rightarrow |N(z)| \leq 5(n+1)n 4^{-n-1} \quad \text{for } |z| = 4^{-1}$$

$$\text{Consider } |nz^{n+1} - (n+1)z^n| \leq |nz^{n+1}| + |-(n+1)z^n| = n|z|^{n+1} + (n+1)|z|^n$$

$$\text{Put } |z| = 4^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |nz^{n+1} - (n+1)z^n| < n4^{-n-1} + (n+1)4^{-n} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow -|nz^{n+1}-(n+1)z^n| > -n4^{-n-1}-(n+1)4^{-n} > -1$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - |nz^{n+1} - (n+1)z^n| \geq 1 - n4^{-n-1} - (n+1)4^{-n} >$$

$$\text{But } |D(z)| = |1 + nz^{n+1} - (n+1)z^n| \geq 1 - |nz^{n+1} - (n+1)z^n|$$

$$\rightarrow |D(z)| \geq \frac{1}{1-n4^{-n-1}} - (n+1)4^{-n} \quad \text{for } |z| = r.$$

Thus we have, for $|z| = 4^{-1}$,

$$\left| \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right| = \left| \frac{N(z)}{|D(z)|} \right| \leq \frac{5(n+1)n}{1 - n4^{-n-1} - (n+1)4^{-n}} = \frac{5(n+1)n}{4^{n+1} - n - (n+1)4} \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (3).$$

Observe that

$$n=2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{5(n+1)n}{4^{n+1}-n-(n+1)4} = \frac{5(2+1)2}{4^{2+1}-2-(2+1)4} = \frac{10(3)}{64-2-12} = \frac{10(3)}{50} = \frac{3}{5}.$$

$$\frac{5(n+1)n}{4^{n+1}-n-(n+1)4} \leq \frac{3}{5} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{25}{12} \leq \frac{4^{n+1}-n-(n+1)4}{4(n+1)n} = \frac{4^n}{(n+1)n} - \frac{1}{4(n+1)} - \frac{1}{n} \quad \dots\dots\dots (4).$$

$$\frac{1}{4(n+1)} < 1, \quad \frac{1}{n} < 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{4(n+1)} > -1, \quad -\frac{1}{n} > -1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\frac{1}{4(n+1)} - \frac{1}{n} > -1 - 1$$

for any $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$

$$n=3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{4^n}{n(n+1)} = \frac{4^3}{3(3+1)} = \frac{64}{12} > \frac{25}{12}$$

Observe that for all integers k

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{4^{k+1}}{(k+1)(k+2)} > \frac{4^k}{k(k+1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{4^k 4}{(k+1)(k+2)} > \frac{4^k}{k(k+1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{4}{k+2} > \frac{1}{k} \\ \Leftrightarrow 4k > k+2 \Leftrightarrow 3k > 2 \end{array} \right.$$

Since $3k > 2$ for all integers $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{4^{k+1}}{(k+1)(k+2)} > \frac{4^k}{k(k+1)} > \dots > \frac{4^3}{3(3+1)} = \frac{64}{12}.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{4^n}{n(n+1)} \geq \frac{64}{12} \quad (n = 3, 4, 5, \dots) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{4^n}{(n+1)n} - \frac{1}{4(n+1)} - \frac{1}{n} \geq \frac{64}{12} - 2 = \frac{40}{12} > \frac{25}{12}$$

Thus, from (4), we have

$$\frac{5(n+1)n}{4^{n+1} - n - (n+1)4} \leq \frac{3}{5}$$

Thus, from (3), we have

$$\left| \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right| \leq \frac{5(n+1)n}{4^{n+1} - n - (n+1)4} \leq \frac{3}{5} \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\frac{3}{5} \leq -\left| \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right|.$$

We know that $|f(z)|^2 = [\text{Re}f(z)]^2 + [\text{Im}f(z)]^2 \geq [\text{Re}f(z)]^2$

$$\Rightarrow \quad [\mathbf{Re}f(z)]^2 \leq |f(z)|^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad -|f(z)| \leq \mathbf{Re}f(z) \leq |f(z)|.$$

Hence we have

$$-\frac{3}{5} \leq -\left| \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right| < \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \right] > -\frac{3}{5}.$$

Therefore, from (1) and (2), we have

$$\operatorname{\mathbf{Re}}\left[1 + z \frac{s_n''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_n'(z, \mathbf{L})}\right] = \operatorname{\mathbf{Re}}\left[\frac{N(z)}{D(z)}\right] + u > -\frac{3}{5} + \frac{3}{5} = 0.$$

It remains to show that 0.25 is maximal radius. This is seen for $s_2(z, \mathbf{L}) = z + z^2$. Then

$$1 + z \frac{s_2''(z, \mathbf{L})}{s_2'(z, \mathbf{L})} = 1 + z \frac{0+2}{1+2z} = \frac{1+4z}{1+2z}$$

has singularity at $z = -0.25$, and thus analytic within $|z| < 0.25$.

Clearly $s_n(z, \mathbf{L}) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n z^k$ is analytic within $|z| < 0.25$, and $s_n(0, \mathbf{L}) = 0$,

Since $s_n'(z, \mathbf{L}) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k z^{k-1}$, we have $s_n'(0, \mathbf{L}) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n k 0^{k-1} = 1$.

Hence $s_n(z, \mathbf{L})$ is cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 0.25$. //

Theorem 5: Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $\mathbf{g}(z)$ are cap like functions and are univalent. Then $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z)$ is cap like function and is univalent.

Proof: Let $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $\mathbf{g}(z)$ are cap like functions. Then $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $\mathbf{g}(z)$ are analytic functions in the unit open disc $|z| < 1$ with conditions $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0 = \mathbf{g}(0)$ and $\mathbf{f}'(0) = 1 = \mathbf{g}'(0)$.

Note that $\{\mathbf{f}(z), \mathbf{g}(z)\} \subset \mathbf{U}$ since $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $\mathbf{g}(z)$ are univalent in the unit open disc $|z| < 1$.

\Rightarrow By Theorem 2, $|a_k| \leq 1$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) and $|b_k| \leq 1$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$).

Taylor's expansion of $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $\mathbf{g}(z)$ about $z = 0$ is given by

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k = a_0 + a_1 z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

$$\mathbf{g}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k = b_0 + b_1 z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = a_0 b_0 + a_1 b_1 z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$$

Clearly $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z)$ is analytic function in the unit open disc $|z| < 1$ with conditions $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(0) = 0$ and $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(0) = 1$.

Let us consider

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right] = 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right].$$

We know that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right] \leq \left| \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right| \quad \Rightarrow \quad - \left| \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right] \leq \left| \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right|$$

By Triangle inequality,

$$1 - \left| \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right| \leq \left| 1 + \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right| = \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right] \geq 1 - \left| \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right| = 1 - \frac{|z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)|}{|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)|} = \frac{|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| - |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)|}{|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)|}$$

We have $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k(k-1) z^{k-2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k(k-1) z^{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)| = \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k(k-1) z^{k-1} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| |k(k-1)| |z|^{k-1} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 1k(k-1) |z|^{k-1}$$

Put $|z|=r \Rightarrow |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^2 - k) r^{k-1}$.

By Triangle inequality, $1 - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}| \leq |1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}| = |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)|$

$$\Rightarrow |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| \geq 1 - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}|$$

But $|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| |b_k| k |z|^{k-1} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 1 k |z|^{k-1} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1}$

$$\Rightarrow |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| \geq 1 - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z^{k-1}| \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| - |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^2 - k) r^{k-1} = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1} &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k(k-1) + k] r^{k-1} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) r^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) r^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1} = r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) r^{k-2} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k r^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$i.e. \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1} = r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} r^k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dr} r^k = r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k + \frac{d}{dr} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k = \left[r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{d}{dr} \right] \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k$$

$$i.e. \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1} = \left[r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{d}{dr} \right] \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k - 1 - r \right] = \left[r \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{d}{dr} \right] \left[\frac{1}{1-r} - 1 - r \right]$$

$$i.e. \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1} = r \frac{2}{(1-r)^3} + \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} - 1 = \frac{2r+1-r}{(1-r)^3} - 1 = \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3} - 1$$

Thus we have

$$|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| - |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)| \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^2 r^{k-1} = 1 - \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3} + 1 = 2 - \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3}$$

We have

$$2 - \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3} > 0 \Leftrightarrow 2 > \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3} \Leftrightarrow 2(1-r)^3 > r+1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 2[1 - r^3 - 3r(1-r)] > r+1 \Leftrightarrow 2 - 2r^3 - 6r + 6r^2 > r+1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - 7r + 6r^2 - 2r^3 > 0 \Leftrightarrow 1 - 7\frac{1}{R} + 6\frac{1}{R^2} - 2\frac{1}{R^3} > 0 \quad (Rr=1, R>1 \text{ since } r<1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R^3 - 7R^2 + 6R - 2 > 0 \Leftrightarrow R^3 - 7R^2 + 6R > 2 \Leftrightarrow R(R^2 - 7R + 6) > 2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow R(R-1)(R-6) > 2$$

Let us consider $R(R-1)(R-6) > 2$

$$\Rightarrow R > 6 \quad (\because R > 1) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{r} > 6 \Rightarrow r < \frac{1}{6} \Rightarrow |z| < \frac{1}{6} < 1$$

Thus, for $|z| < 6^{-1} < 1$, we have

$$|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| - |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)| \geq 2 - \frac{r+1}{(1-r)^3} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)}{(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)} \right] \geq \frac{|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)| - |z(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})''(z)|}{|(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z)|} > 0.$$

Hence $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z)$ is cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 6^{-1} < 1$.

Let $z_1 \neq z_2$, we have $z_1 - z_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow |z_1 - z_2| \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < |z_1 - z_2|$.

Let $\rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq r - \rho = |z_2| - |z_1| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ by triangle inequality.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_1) - (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_2) &= z_1(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z_1) - z_2(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})'(z_2) \\ &= [z_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z_1^k] - [z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z_2^k] \\ &= z_1 - z_2 + [\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z_1^k - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k k z_2^k] = z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k] \end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality, $|z_1 - z_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$

$$\Rightarrow |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_1) - (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_2)| \geq |z_1 - z_2| - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]|$$

Again by triangle inequality; and since $|a_k| \leq 1, |b_k| \leq 1$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| |b_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 1(1) [|z_1^k| + |z_2^k|] \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [|z_1|^k + |z_2|^k] \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [r^k + r^k] \end{aligned}$$

since $|z_1| \leq |z_2| = r$.

$$\begin{aligned} i.e. \quad |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| &\leq 2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} r^k = 2 \frac{r^2}{1-r} \Rightarrow -|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq -\frac{2r^2}{1-r} \\ \Rightarrow |(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_1) - (\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z_2)| &\geq r - \rho - |\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k [z_1^k - z_2^k]| \geq r - \rho - \frac{2r^2}{1-r} = r \left[1 - \frac{2r}{1-r} \right] - \rho \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$r \left[1 - \frac{2r}{1-r} \right] - \rho > 0 \Leftrightarrow r \left[1 - \frac{2r}{1-r} \right] > \rho \geq 0$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \rho < r \left[1 - \frac{2r}{1-r} \right] &\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{2r}{1-r} > 0 \Rightarrow 1 > \frac{2r}{1-r} \\ \Rightarrow 1 - r > 2r \quad (0 < r < 1) &\Rightarrow -r > -1 \Rightarrow 1 - r > 1 - 1 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow 1 > 3r &\Rightarrow 3r < 1 \Rightarrow r < 3^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $0 \leq \rho = |z_1| \leq |z_2| = r < 3^{-1}$

Thus $(\mathbf{f} * \mathbf{g})(z)$ is univalent in the open disc $\{z / |z| < 3^{-1} < 1\}$. //

Theorem 6: Let $\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is cap like function. Then $s_n(z, \mathbf{f}) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k$ are cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 0.25$.

Proof: $\mathbf{L}(z) = z(1-z)^{-1} = z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{k+1} = \sum_{k=1=0}^{\infty} z^{k-1+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$

where $a_k = 1$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$). Then by Theorem 4,

$s_n(z, \mathbf{L}) = z + \sum_{k=2}^n z^k$ is cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 0.25$.

Replace z by $0.25z$ in $s_n(z, \mathbf{L})$, then $s_n(0.25z, \mathbf{L}) = 0.25z + \sum_{k=2}^n (0.25)^k z^k$ is also cap like function in the open disc $|0.25z| < 0.25$ i.e. $|z| < 1$.

By hypothesis, $\mathbf{f}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 1$.

Convolution of $\mathbf{f}(z)$, $s_n(0.25z, \mathbf{L})$ is $\mathbf{f}(z) * s_n(0.25z, \mathbf{L}) = 0.25z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k (0.25)^k z^k$.

By Theorem 4, convolution of two cap like functions is cap like function.

Hence $\mathbf{f}(z) * s_n(0.25z, \mathbf{L})$ is cap like function in the open disc $|z| < 1$.

Replace z by $4z$ in $\mathbf{f}(z) * s_n(0.25z, \mathbf{L})$, then

$$\mathbf{f}(4z) * s_n(0.25 \times 4z, \mathbf{L}) = 0.25 \times 4z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k (0.25)^k (4z)^k = z + \sum_{k=2}^n a_k z^k$$

is cap like function in the open disc $|4z| < 1$ i.e. $|z| < 0.25 //$