International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

Exploring the Potential of Convolutional Neural Networks in Healthcare Engineering for Skin Disease Identification

Jilani Sayyad[1], Prashant Patil[2], Shashidhar Gurav[3]
[1,2,3] Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, SITCOE, Yadrav (Ichalkaranji), Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Skin disorders affect millions of individuals worldwide, underscoring the urgency of swift and accurate detection for optimal treatment outcomes. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as valuable assets for automating the identification of skin ailments. This paper conducts an exhaustive examination of the latest advancements in CNN-driven skin condition detection. Within dermatological applications, CNNs proficiently analyze intricate visual motifs and extricate distinctive features from skin imaging datasets. By undergoing training on extensive data repositories, CNNs proficiently classify an array of skin maladies such as melanoma, psoriasis, eczema, and acne. The paper spotlights pivotal progressions in CNN-centered skin ailment diagnosis, encompassing diverse CNN architectures, refinement methodologies, and data augmentation tactics. Moreover, the integration of transfer learning and ensemble approaches has further amplified the efficacy of CNN models. Despite their substantial potential, there exist pertinent challenges. The comprehensive portrayal of skin afflictions and the mitigation of biases mandate access to extensive and varied data pools. The quest for comprehending the decision-making processes propelling CNN models remains an ongoing endeavor. Ethical quandaries like algorithmic predisposition and data privacy also warrant significant consideration. By meticulously scrutinizing the evolutions, obstacles, and potential of CNN-oriented skin disorder diagnosis, this critique provides invaluable insights to researchers and medical professionals. It underscores the importance of precise and efficacious diagnostic instruments in ameliorating patient outcomes and curbing healthcare expenditures.

Keywords: Skin diseases, convolutional neural networks (CNNs), diagnosis, dermatology, visual patterns, feature extraction, classification, melanoma, psoriasis, eczema, acne, data augmentation, transfer learning, ensemble techniques, biases, interpretability, algorithmic bias, data privacy, healthcare, patient outcomes, research, ethical considerations.

1. Introduction

Skin conditions are prevalent issues that can lead to discomfort, pain, and potential long-term health complications, impacting a significant portion of the global population (Kimball et al., 2005; Sen et al., 2009). Detecting these disorders accurately and promptly is essential for effective treatment and improved patient outcomes (Mieras et al., 2018; Rigel et al., 2010). However, traditional methods of dermatological diagnosis, which heavily rely on visual assessments by dermatologists, are associated with limitations such as subjectivity, time constraints, and the possibility of human errors. The complex and variable nature of skin disorders further adds to the challenge of precise diagnosis (Korotkov and Garcia, 2012; Liopyris et al., 2022; Pathan et al., 2018; Venugopal et al., 2023).

Recent advancements in dermatology have been driven by the integration of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) into the diagnosis of skin diseases (Kwasigroch et al., 2017; Rathod et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2021). These deep learning algorithms, designed for image analysis, have significantly transformed the automation of detecting and classifying skin conditions, showing promising results (Shetty et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2020). By harnessing data-driven analysis, pattern recognition, and feature extraction, these algorithms excel in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and facilitating informed decision-making in dermatology (Ching et al., 2018; Hassan et al., 2023; Hughes et al., 2021; Saranya and Subhashini, 2023; Sun, 2019).

The main aim of this study is to comprehensively assess the recent progress in the detection of skin diseases through the application of convolutional neural networks. By examining the current state of this field, the research seeks to address the following questions:

Research Question 1 (RQ1): How do convolutional neural networks contribute to the identification and categorization of skin conditions?

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have demonstrated impressive capabilities in analyzing intricate visual patterns present in skin images (Abbas and Celebi, 2019; Srinivasu et al., 2021). With their hierarchical architecture and convolutional processes, CNNs efficiently identify distinct features associated with various skin conditions. By utilizing extensive labeled datasets of skin images, these algorithms proficiently distinguish a range of disorders, including melanoma, psoriasis, eczema, and acne (Ahn et al., 2019; Khan et al., 2020; Yamashita et al., 2018).

Research Question 2 (RQ2): What advancements are evident in algorithms for skin disease detection based on CNN?

Considerable strides have been achieved in CNN-based algorithms for detecting skin diseases in recent times. Researchers have explored diverse CNN architectures, optimization techniques, and data augmentation approaches to heighten precision and generalizability (Ayan et al., 2020; Salih and Duffy, 2023). Augmentation further stems from transfer learning, employing pre-trained CNN models, and ensemble strategies that amalgamate multiple CNN models (Ayan et al., 2022; Hasan et al., 2022; Voon et al., 2022).

Research Question 3 (RQ3): What challenges and constraints pertain to skin disease detection via CNN?

Despite the potential of CNNs in diagnosing skin conditions, they encounter various challenges and limitations (He et al., 2021; Winkler et al., 2019). A multitude of research studies have shed light on these issues (Gilpin et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2020; Reyes et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2023). These challenges encompass the need for extensive and diverse datasets to cover a wide range of skin disorders, the possibility of biases in data collection affecting algorithm performance, the importance of understanding CNN model interpretability to uncover decision-making processes, and ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic fairness. Addressing these issues is crucial for the widespread adoption and acceptance of CNN-powered skin disease detection systems (Espinosa et al., 2019; Srinidhi et al., 2021).

The objective of this study is to furnish insightful perspectives for researchers, dermatologists, and healthcare practitioners by meticulously dissecting the evolutions, challenges, and prospects associated with skin disease detection through convolutional neural networks. The outcomes of this scrutiny stand to inform the development of more precise and efficacious diagnostic modalities, thereby ameliorating patient outcomes, reducing healthcare costs, and elevating the quality of dermatological care. Subsequent sections of this article will delve deeper into the methodologies, procedures, and findings of various studies undertaken in this domain, underscoring advancements and potential avenues for the future of skin disease detection through Convolutional Neural Networks.

2. CNN for Skin Disease Detection

2.1 Overview of the CNN Architecture and Suitability for Image Analysis

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have led to revolutionary advancements in various domains, including computer vision and pattern recognition, establishing them as invaluable tools for image analysis (Liu et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). In the realm of dermatology, CNNs have demonstrated their effectiveness in diagnosing and categorizing skin conditions, capitalizing on their image processing capabilities (Allugunti, 2022; Thomsen et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). This section delves into the architecture of CNNs, their fundamental components, and their relevance in image analysis, particularly their pivotal role in identifying skin diseases.

2.1.1 CNN Architecture

The structure of a CNN is inspired by the interconnected layers of neurons in the human brain's visual cortex, which process and recognize visual stimuli. Analogously, CNNs consist of multiple layers, each tasked with extracting and comprehending progressively intricate information from input images (Ahlawat et al., 2020; Quin et al., 2018).

Convolutional Layers

The foundational elements of CNNs lie in their convolutional layers, which utilize adaptable filters or kernels to convolve over input images. Through element-wise multiplications and summations, these filters produce feature maps that encapsulate local patterns and spatial correlations. This capability empowers CNNs to acquire essential visual characteristics that distinguish various skin conditions (Liang and Gu, 2021; Van et al., 2020).

Pooling Layers

Subsequent to convolutional layers, pooling layers downsample feature maps. Common methods include max pooling and average pooling, which retain essential characteristics while reducing spatial dimensions. Pooling imparts translation invariance, enabling the network to recognize patterns regardless of their location within an image (Gholamalinezhad and Khosravi, 2020; Nirthika et al., 2022; Yamashita et al., 2018).

Fully Connected Layers

CNN architectures typically culminate in fully connected layers. These layers facilitate the learning of intricate correlations, enabling predictions based on learned features. By linking neurons across layers, fully connected layers categorize input images into specific disease categories, a pivotal aspect in

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

skin disease detection (Khamparia et al., 2021; Pham et al., 2020).

2.1.2 Suitability for Image Analysis

CNNs are ideally suited for image analysis tasks, including skin disease detection, due to several key attributes:

Local Feature Extraction

Convolutional layers adeptly discern spatial relationships and local patterns in images. They identify distinct textures, edges, and color fluctuations indicative of various skin disorders, allowing CNNs to effectively differentiate between diverse diseases (Janoria et al., 2020; Ker et al., 2017).

Hierarchical Feature Learning

CNN architecture inherently incorporates hierarchical feature learning. The lower layers focus on capturing elementary details such as edges and textures, whereas the upper layers encompass abstract and intricate attributes relevant to particular conditions. This hierarchical arrangement empowers CNNs to derive more profound and distinctive features as data progresses through the network (Khan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021).

Translation Invariance

Pooling layers instill translation invariance crucial for image tasks. Skin conditions can appear distinct due to lighting, direction, and scale disparities in photos. Pooling, by downsampling feature maps, enables CNNs to recognize patterns independently of precise image locations (Gu et al., 2019; Nahata and Singh, 2020; Vijayalakshmi, 2019), enhancing their robustness.

Parameter Sharing and Efficiency

CNNs utilize parameter sharing, employing the same learned filters across diverse spatial areas of input images. This strategy streamlines training, reduces processing demands, and enhances scalability, as the number of network parameters diminishes. Consequently, CNNs are adept at handling large datasets (Dieleman et al., 2016). In essence, the CNN design, encompassing convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers, aligns seamlessly with image analysis requirements. Local feature detection, hierarchical learning, translation invariance, and computational efficiency collectively underscore the suitability of CNNs (Hosseini et al., 2023). Furthermore, recent advancements and research in optimizing CNN models for enhanced performance in skin disease diagnosis will be explored.

2.1.3 Utilizing Extensive Labeled Skin Image Datasets for CNN Model Training

The successful detection of skin diseases through CNNs hinges upon the utilization of substantial datasets containing labeled skin images. These datasets furnish the ground truth data necessary for models to discern the distinctive attributes of diverse skin disorders. Dermatologists and researchers contribute to the creation of these datasets by assembling images of various skin conditions and meticulously assigning accurate labels (Sun et al., 2016). An iterative training process guides CNNs, wherein the network learns to adjust its parameters to minimize the disparity between projected outputs and ground truth labels. This procedure, often termed backpropagation, propagates the error gradient through network layers, leading to weight updates. Sizeable labeled datasets play a pivotal role in efficiently training CNN models, enabling them to capture intricate variations and patterns inherent to various skin ailments (Srinivasu et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2019).

2.1.4 Pros and Cons of CNN-based Approaches

CNN-based methodologies for skin disease detection offer several benefits:

Accuracy: CNNs demonstrate remarkable accuracy in recognizing and classifying skin conditions, often surpassing human capabilities. Their ability to detect intricate patterns and subtle variations within skin images leads to diagnoses marked by heightened precision and dependability (Gandarias et al., 2019).

Efficiency and Automation: CNN-based techniques facilitate the rapid and automated analysis of numerous dermatological images. Trained CNN models boast swift processing, rendering them ideal for time-sensitive diagnostics that streamline clinical workflows (Inthiyaz et al., 2023).

Generalization Capability: CNNs possess the ability to extrapolate their acquired knowledge to previously unseen images. Through learning from diverse datasets and identifying common attributes of skin disorders, CNN models proficiently predict outcomes for novel images (Ahmad et al., 2022; Xiang et al., 2021).

However, there exist limitations and challenges associated with CNN-based approaches:

Data Bias and Accessibility: The efficacy of training CNN models heavily relies on the availability of substantial, varied, and equitable datasets. Biases inherent in data collection, such as the inadequate representation of certain skin types or conditions, can exert influence on the performance and applicability of CNN models (Duman and Tolan, 2023; Inthiyaz et al., 2023).

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

Ethical Implications: Employing CNNs for identifying skin diseases gives rise to ethical dilemmas regarding algorithmic prejudices, data protection, and patient confidentiality. To safeguard patient privacy, ensure secure data management, and counteract biases that might unfairly impact particular populations, the implementation of suitable safeguards and regulatory measures becomes crucial (Du-Harpur et al., 2020; Kavitha et al., 2023).

Despite these limitations, ongoing research endeavors aim to surmount challenges and enhance CNN models for the diagnosis of skin diseases. The effectiveness and reliability of CNN-based methodologies in dermatological practice can be further elevated through advancements in data collection, interpretive techniques, and ethical standards. Subsequent sections delve into the progress within CNN-based skin disease diagnosis, encompassing diverse CNN architectures, optimization strategies, and data augmentation methods. These advancements have fortified the clinical utility, resilience, and precision of CNN models within the realm of dermatology.

3. Improvements in CNN-Based Skin Disease Detection

Significant advancements in CNN-based techniques for the identification of skin diseases have been achieved in recent times. To enhance the accuracy, resilience, and practical applicability of CNN models in the field of dermatology, researchers and experts have explored various methodologies and approaches. This section sheds light on the notable progress made in this domain.

3.1. Enhanced CNN Architectures

Researchers have tailored CNN architectures specifically for skin disease detection. These designs incorporate additional layers like residual connections or attention mechanisms to elevate feature representation and capture intricate patterns. Examples include DenseNet, InceptionNet, and ResNet. These enhanced architectures, as highlighted by Salehi et al. (2023) and Yu et al. (2023), exhibit improved performance in accurately identifying skin disorders.

3.2 Transfer Learning and Pretrained Models

Transfer learning has proven instrumental in CNN-based skin disease diagnosis. Large-scale image datasets such as ImageNet serve as the foundation for training pretrained CNN models, which are then fine-tuned on smaller dermatological image datasets. Transfer learning leverages features learned from general image recognition tasks to enhance the effectiveness and performance of skin disease detection models, particularly in scenarios with limited training data (Khamparia et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2019).

3.3. Ensemble Methods

Ensemble techniques bring together multiple CNN models to enhance prediction accuracy and versatility. Through the amalgamation of predictions from different models, these techniques mitigate individual model biases and elevate overall performance. Approaches like bagging, boosting, and stacking have found application in CNN-powered skin disease detection, yielding heightened diagnostic proficiency (Rao et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2020).

3.4 Mechanisms of Attention and Explicitness

Attention mechanisms have gained prominence in CNN-based skin disease identification. These mechanisms focus on identifying and highlighting crucial areas or features within an image that significantly influence the final prediction. Attention maps offer visual explanations that facilitate comprehension of CNN model decision-making processes. Explainable models with attention mechanisms enhance transparency, trust, and understanding among dermatologists and users (Gu et al, 2020; Li et al., 2022).

3.5 Data Balancing and Augmentation

To combat the challenges posed by limited data availability and class imbalances, data augmentation methods are of paramount importance in skin disease detection. These methods involve applying transformations such as rotation, scaling, and flipping to existing images, thereby enriching the training dataset and improving model generalization. Approaches like oversampling and undersampling are implemented to equalize class distribution and alleviate bias toward the dominant class (Alam et al., 2022; Hamida et al., 2023; Reshi et al., 2021).

3.6 Mobile and Real-Time Applications

Advancements in hardware and optimization techniques have enabled the deployment of CNN-based skin disease detection models on mobile devices. Real-time detection and diagnosis software empower dermatologists to swiftly analyze skin lesions using smartphone cameras. These applications leverage CNNs' speed and accuracy, enhancing access to dermatological insights (Maduranga and Nandasena, 2022; Srinivasu et al., 2021).

3.7. Ongoing Challenges and Future Trajectory

Necessities include larger and more diverse datasets, resolution of data collection biases, improved model interpretability, and meticulous consideration of privacy and ethics. Future research should tackle these issues to further enhance CNN models' functionality and clinical integration.

It's essential to highlight that the application of CNNs in skin disease diagnosis has demonstrated notable

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

enhancements in terms of accuracy, interpretability, and clinical relevance. The advancements are evident in the refinement of CNN architectures, the adoption of transfer learning, the utilization of ensemble techniques, the incorporation of attention mechanisms, the implementation of data augmentation, and the development of real-time applications. The utilization of CNNs is poised to play an increasingly pivotal role in automating the detection and diagnosis of skin conditions, thereby strengthening dermatological care and surmounting current challenges, thanks to ongoing research efforts.

4. Limitations and Challenges in CNN-based Skin Disease Detection

Although CNN-based methods hold potential for skin disease detection, their effective adoption demands the resolution of several challenges and limitations. Numerous scholars (Naeem et al., 2020; Salehi et al., 2023; Shahin et al., 2023; Tariq et al., 2021) have pinpointed the subsequent primary hurdles within this field:

4.1 Data Quality and Availability

One of the primary hurdles in CNN-based skin disease identification is the availability and quality of labeled datasets. The creation of comprehensive, diverse, and accurately annotated datasets requires substantial time and resources. Moreover, variations in image quality, lighting conditions, and patient characteristics can impact model performance. Ensuring the availability of well-balanced datasets that encompass different skin tones, ethnicities, and disease severities is crucial.

4.2 Class Bias and Imbalance

The presence of imbalanced datasets, with limited representation of certain skin conditions, poses challenges in training CNN models. This can lead to models exhibiting bias toward majority classes and struggling to identify minority classes. Addressing class imbalance and bias is vital to achieve equitable and accurate diagnoses across all skin disorders.

4.3 Robustness & Generalization

CNN models trained on specific datasets might face difficulties in applying their knowledge to rare or underrepresented skin conditions. Variations in disease presentation, patient demographics, and imaging conditions can impact model performance.

4.4 Explainability and Interpretability

The lack of interpretability of CNN models can hinder their acceptance and reliability in clinical practice. Dermatologists require insights into the model's decision-making process and the features influencing its predictions for informed clinical decision-making. Efforts to develop interpretable CNN models and visualization techniques to elucidate predictions are areas of significant research.

4.5 Ethical and legal issues to consider

The utilization of CNN-based skin disease diagnosis systems raises ethical and legal concerns. Safeguarding patient privacy, data security, and confidentiality of sensitive health information is paramount. Additionally, preventing algorithmic biases to ensure fairness and avoid disproportionate harm to specific demographics is critical.

4.6 Clinical Adoption and Integration

Integrating CNN-based skin disease detection systems into clinical workflows poses practical challenges. Developing regulatory standards for safe and effective use, providing training for dermatologists and healthcare professionals, and building trust in the use of these systems are essential steps. Widespread adoption of CNN-based diagnostic tools requires the confidence of healthcare professionals.

4.7 Continuous Model Validation and Improvement

Continuous updates and improvements are necessary for CNN models as new information and insights emerge. Regular model validation and performance monitoring are essential to maintain accuracy and reliability over time. Collaboration between dermatologists, researchers, and practitioners is crucial for model enhancement and addressing emerging challenges. Addressing these limitations and challenges necessitates interdisciplinary collaboration among dermatologists, computer scientists, and regulatory bodies.

5. Analysis in Comparison to Conventional Diagnostic Methods

While traditional methods rely on dermatologists' expertise and visual inspection of skin lesions, CNN-based methods offer an automated and complementary avenue for skin disease identification.

5.1. Accuracy and Precision

CNN-based methods excel in detecting and classifying skin diseases, often surpassing human dermatologists in accuracy. Their ability to discern intricate patterns and subtle variations in skin images results in more reliable and precise assessments. In contrast, conventional diagnostic techniques heavily rely on the subjective interpretation and experience of dermatologists, which can introduce variability and human error (Han et al., 2018; Stofa et al., 2021).

5.2 Efficacy and Speed

CNN-based methods enable rapid and automated analysis of large datasets of dermatological images. Trained CNN models possess quick processing capabilities, proving

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

valuable for time-critical diagnoses and streamlined clinical workflows. In contrast, conventional diagnostic approaches involving manual assessment of each skin lesion can lead to prolonged waiting times for patients (Kalpana et al., 2023).

5.3 Scalability and accessibility

The versatility of CNN-based techniques extends to diverse platforms, including mobile devices, thereby improving the accessibility of skin disease screening methods. This accessibility proves especially advantageous in remote or underserved regions where access to dermatological expertise is scarce. In contrast, traditional diagnostic approaches heavily depend on the availability of skilled dermatologists, which might be constrained in specific areas (Esteva et al., 2017; Juyal et al., 2023).

5.4 Objective and Consistent Assessments

CNN-based systems yield unbiased and consistent assessments due to their training on extensive datasets and adherence to established protocols. This mitigates the subjectivity and variability associated with conventional diagnostic methods, where different dermatologists may provide varying interpretations or skill levels. CNN models offer reproducible and standardized diagnostic outcomes (Zhu et al., 2021).

5.5 Clinical expertise and interpretability

Conventional diagnostic methods draw upon dermatologists' significant clinical expertise, enabling them to consider additional clinical factors such as patient history and symptoms. In contrast, CNN-based methods' "black-box" nature complicates understanding the decision-making process and underlying factors supporting predictions (Wang et al., 2021).

5.6 Integration and Synergy

While CNN-based methods offer automated analysis, their integration with traditional diagnostic approaches can significantly enhance diagnostic procedures. By combining the insights of dermatologists with the unbiased assessments provided by CNN models, more comprehensive and accurate diagnoses can be achieved. The convergence of these two approaches capitalizes on their respective strengths, resulting in optimal diagnostic outcomes (Bollino et al., 2022). Importantly, it should be highlighted that CNN-based methods are intended to augment, rather than replace, dermatologists' decision-making. The synergy between clinical expertise and automated analysis has the potential to yield heightened precision and efficacy in diagnoses, ultimately leading to improved patient care (Du-Harpur et al., 2020; Perez et al., 2021).

6. CNN Algorithms for Detecting Skin Disease

Outlined below is a concise overview of some prominent CNN architectures utilized for skin disease detection:

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

CNNs serve as the foundational building blocks for deep learning in image processing. Comprising convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers, these networks are designed to automatically extract hierarchical representations from image data. Through convolutional processes, CNNs excel in capturing local information from images and delineating spatial relationships between pixels. In the context of skin disease identification, CNNs have exhibited significant efficacy (Shanthi et al., 2020; Velasco et al., 2019).

AlexNet

AlexNet, a seminal CNN architecture, garnered attention for surpassing human competitors in the ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge of 2012. Comprising eight layers, including five convolutional and three fully connected layers, AlexNet introduced concepts like ReLU, dropout regularization, and local response normalization, influencing subsequent CNN designs. It established the potential of deep learning in image classification tasks (Manzoor et al., 2022).

InceptionNet (GoogLeNet)

InceptionNet, often referred to as GoogleNet, revolutionized architecture design with its inception modules. These modules utilize multiple parallel convolutional layers of different filter sizes (1x1, 3x3, and 5x5) to strike a balance between accuracy, efficiency, and computational effectiveness. Remarkably, InceptionNet achieves competitive accuracy while utilizing fewer parameters than alternative architectures. Its proficiency in capturing intricate nuances within dermatological images is demonstrated by its successful deployment in the detection of skin diseases (Panthakkan et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2018).

ResNet (Residual Neural Network)

ResNet revolutionized CNN architecture by introducing residual connections. These connections facilitate the construction of highly deep networks (50 to over 100 layers) without encountering vanishing gradient issues, thanks to enhanced gradient flow. Residual connections aid in comprehending residual mappings, which illustrate input-output disparities. ResNet's superior performance in various picture classification benchmarks, including skin disease diagnosis, has made it a favored option for its ability to train

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

extremely deep networks (Mohapatra et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2021).

These CNN architectures, including CNNs, AlexNet, VGGNet, InceptionNet, and ResNet, have significantly influenced the field of image analysis, particularly in skin disease diagnosis. Their excellence in learning hierarchical representations, capturing intricate details, and achieving cutting-edge accuracy is widely acknowledged. Researchers and practitioners often adopt these popular CNN frameworks as foundational structures for skin disease detection models, subsequently fine-tuning and optimizing them based on specific requirements and datasets.

Various evaluation metrics can be employed to compare the effectiveness of different CNN algorithms in skin disease detection. Some commonly used measures include:

Accuracy: A pivotal indicator of overall prediction quality, accuracy represents the proportion of correctly categorized instances out of the total instances.

Precision and Recall: Precision measures the model's ability to identify positive occurrences among anticipated positives, while recall assesses its capability to properly identify positive cases among all actual positives.

The F1-score: A statistic that balances precision and recall by taking their harmonic mean, particularly useful for datasets with imbalanced positive and negative examples.

ROC Curve: The ROC curve graphically displays true positive rate and false positive rate for different thresholds, with the AUC-ROC metric summarizing overall model performance.

The confusion matrix: A tabular representation of model predictions versus ground truth labels, from which metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and specificity can be derived.

Efficiency of computation: Parameters and inference time quantify the model's computational efficiency, crucial for applications with real-time processing or resource constraints.

These evaluation measures, such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, ROC analysis, and computational efficiency, aid in assessing and comparing the performance of diverse CNN algorithms for skin disease detection (Haque and Abdelgawad, 2020; Hannum et al., 2019; Kalpana et al., 2023; Unal et al., 2020).

The CNN methodology for skin disease detection is inherently shaped by diverse factors, including the characteristics of the dataset, criteria for evaluation, and objectives of the research. Nevertheless, the subsequent CNN architectures have consistently stood out as high-performing models, substantiated by existing literature and their proven effectiveness in skin disease detection tasks:

InceptionNet (GoogLeNet): InceptionNet stands out for its remarkable accuracy coupled with computational efficiency, showcasing promising outcomes in skin disease diagnosis. Its capability to capture features at multiple scales using inception modules makes it adept at discerning intricate patterns within dermatological images. By employing parallel convolutional layers with diverse filter sizes, InceptionNet excels in detecting subtle nuances and complex patterns in skin lesions. Remarkably, it maintains computational efficiency, rendering it well-suited for real-time applications. Outperforming earlier architectures like AlexNet and VGGNet, InceptionNet demonstrates superior performance, particularly in model efficiency and multi-scale feature extraction (Panthakkan et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2018).

ResNet (Residual Neural Network): ResNet has consistently showcased exceptional performance across various image classification tasks, including skin disease detection. Its deep architecture with residual connections facilitates the capture of intricate details and minor variations in skin lesions, enabling efficient training of highly deep networks. The ability of ResNet to comprehend residual mappings simplifies the detection of fine-grained features critical in skin disease diagnosis. Surpassing its predecessors, ResNet has emerged as a preferred choice for many image classification applications, including skin disease detection, due to its capacity to capture both low-level and high-level features (Mohapatra et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2021).

DenseNet: Renowned for its efficient parameter design and feature reuse mechanism, DenseNet facilitates information flow and gradient propagation. Its interconnected layers, organized in a feed-forward manner, foster precise and dependable predictions in skin disease detection through comprehensive feature aggregation. In contrast to architectures like ResNet, DenseNet's proficient parameter management and feature amalgamation play a pivotal role in elevating accuracy and resilience (Bala et al., 2023; Carcagni et al., 2019; Rao et al., 2021).

EfficientNet: The state-of-the-art architecture, EfficientNet, adeptly balances model depth, width, and resolution through compound scaling, achieving a harmony between computational efficiency and remarkable accuracy. With applications across various computer vision domains, EfficientNet demonstrates potential for effective skin condition detection. Its capability to achieve higher accuracy with fewer parameters than predecessors such as InceptionNet and ResNet underscores its improved performance. The balanced scaling technique of EfficientNet maximizes representation learning,

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

enhancing model capacity (Wu et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021).

In the realm of skin disease detection, CNN architectures offer distinct advantages when compared to traditional machine learning approaches or non-CNN algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, or Random Forests. CNN models autonomously learn discriminative features directly from raw image data, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering. This ability empowers them to effectively capture intricate patterns and variations present in skin lesions. Additionally, CNNs excel at extracting features at multiple levels of abstraction, achieved through the stacking of numerous layers. This capability allows them to differentiate between low-level specifics and high-level semantic traits—an essential aspect for precise skin disease diagnosis.

Furthermore, CNNs are inherently capable of learning scale-invariant features, adapting to variations in lesion size or image resolution that are commonly encountered in dermatological imaging. The end-to-end training of CNN models optimizes each layer concurrently, leading to improved performance by tailoring learned representations specifically for the task of skin disease detection.

7. Further Research Directions and Research Implications

7.1 Further research directions

Automated Lesion Segmentation: While current CNN-based skin disease detection primarily focuses on classification tasks, there is a potential avenue for enhancing diagnostic precision through automated lesion segmentation. Integrating advanced image segmentation techniques with CNN architectures like U-Net or Mask R-CNN could lead to more precise localization and delineation of skin lesions. This advancement could facilitate better lesion study and monitoring, ultimately elevating diagnostic accuracy.

Expanded Classification Scope: While existing CNN models excel at differentiating specific skin conditions from healthy skin, broadening their scope to encompass a wider array of classes holds promise. This broader coverage would enable simultaneous identification and differentiation of diverse skin issues. Exploring the generalization capacity of CNN models across various domains can enhance their resilience. To achieve this, models could be trained on datasets featuring images captured by different camera types or from various sources. Techniques such as domain adaptation or transfer learning could facilitate knowledge transfer between distinct skin disease datasets, ultimately enhancing the model's performance on unfamiliar or out-of-distribution cases.

CNN Algorithms in Telemedicine and Mobile Health: The integration of CNN algorithms into dermatological telemedicine platforms and mobile health applications holds significant implications. Patients using mobile devices to capture images of their skin lesions could receive immediate feedback or recommendations from CNN models. This approach enhances remote diagnosis and monitoring, empowering individuals to take control of their healthcare. In underserved areas or regions with limited access to dermatologists, this accessibility fosters timely treatment and intervention.

7.2 Research implications of the study

The advancement of CNN algorithms for skin disease detection stands to significantly enhance diagnostic accuracy. Leveraging deep learning techniques and extensive datasets, these algorithms can discern minute patterns, changes, and features within skin lesions that might elude the human eye. This capacity translates to more precise and reliable diagnoses, fostering prompt treatment and improved patient outcomes. For dermatologists, CNN algorithms offer valuable decision-support tools, augmenting their expertise rather than supplanting it. By providing additional perspectives, impartial assessments, and potential differential diagnoses, these algorithms aid dermatologists in making informed decisions and honing their diagnostic skills. Moreover, the integration of CNN algorithms into telemedicine platforms and mobile applications expands access to dermatological expertise beyond traditional healthcare settings. This is especially valuable in regions with limited dermatological resources or remote areas. Enabling remote pre-assessments and patient recommendations reduces the need for in-person consultations, ensuring swift access to specialized care. Given that the management of various skin diseases hinges on early identification, the incorporation of CNN algorithms holds substantial implications for patient outcomes and the field of dermatology as a whole.

8. Concluding Remarks

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have emerged as a promising and transformative technology in the field of dermatology for the diagnosis of skin diseases. Extensive research has identified a range of CNN algorithms that exhibit remarkable performance in classifying and diagnosing skin conditions. Notably, algorithms such as InceptionNet, ResNet, DenseNet, and EfficientNet have consistently demonstrated exceptional accuracy and robustness across various studies. Their effectiveness in capturing intricate patterns and features in dermatological images can be attributed to their deep architectures, skip connections, attention mechanisms, and ensemble techniques.

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

Comparative analysis against traditional diagnostic methods underscores the superiority of CNN algorithms. CNNs outperform rule-based algorithms and manual feature extraction methods due to their ability to learn and adapt from large training datasets. This capacity allows them to identify subtle variations and non-linear correlations within skin lesions, resulting in more accurate and reliable diagnoses. However, it's important to acknowledge the existing challenges and limitations, such as dataset biases, limited annotated data access, interpretability concerns, generalization to new scenarios, and computational demands. Addressing these issues will enhance the practical applicability and reliability of CNN algorithms.

The future of CNNs in skin disease detection holds exciting prospects. Automated lesion segmentation, multi-class classification, domain-generalization, integration of clinical metadata, and incorporation into telemedicine and mobile applications are promising avenues for further research. These directions have the potential to elevate diagnostic precision, accessibility, and effectiveness in skin disease diagnosis. CNN algorithms offer dermatologists the means to make more informed diagnoses, access dermatological expertise via telemedicine, and potentially identify and prevent skin diseases at an early stage. These advancements have the potential to revolutionize dermatological care, mitigate healthcare disparities, and significantly impact patient outcomes.

In conclusion, the utilization of CNN algorithms for skin disease identification represents a substantial leap forward in dermatology. By harnessing the strengths of CNN architectures and addressing their current limitations, we can anticipate ongoing developments in this field.

References

1. Abbas, Q. and Celebi, M.E., 2019. DermoDeep-A classification of melanoma-nevus skin lesions using multi-feature fusion of visual features and deep neural network. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 78(16), pp.23559-23580.
2. Ahlawat, S., Choudhary, A., Nayyar, A., Singh, S. and Yoon, B., 2020. Improved handwritten digit recognition using convolutional neural networks (CNN). Sensors, 20(12), p.3344.
3. Ahmad, B., Usama, M., Ahmad, T., Khatoon, S. and Alam, C.M., 2022. An ensemble model of convolution and recurrent neural network for skin disease classification. International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology, 32(1), pp.218-229.
4. Ahn, E., Kumar, A., Fulham, M., Feng, D. and Kim, J., 2019. Convolutional sparse kernel network for unsupervised medical image analysis. Medical image analysis, 56, pp.140-151.
5. Alam, T.M., Shaukat, K., Khan, W.A., Hameed, I.A., Almuqren, L.A., Raza, M.A., Aslam, M. and Luo, S., 2022. An Efficient Deep Learning-Based Skin Cancer Classifier for an Imbalanced Dataset. Diagnostics, 12(9), p.2115.
6. Allugunti, V.R., 2022. A machine learning model for skin disease classification using convolution neural network. International Journal of Computing, Programming and Database Management, 3(1), pp.141-147.
7. Altenberger, F. and Lenz, C., 2018. A non-technical survey on deep convolutional neural network architectures. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.02129.
8. Ayan, E., Erbay, H. and Varçın, F., 2020. Crop pest classification with a genetic algorithm-based weighted ensemble of deep convolutional neural networks. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 179, p.105809.
9. Ayan, E., Karabulut, B. and Ünver, H.M., 2022. Diagnosis of pediatric pneumonia with ensemble of deep convolutional neural networks in chest x-ray images. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, pp.1-17.
10. Baker, B., Gupta, O., Naik, N. and Raskar, R., 2016. Designing neural network architectures using reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.02167.
11. Bala, D., Hossain, M.S., Hossain, M.A., Abdullah, M.I., Rahman, M.M., Manavalan, B., Gu, N., Islam, M.S. and Huang, Z., 2023. MonkeyNet: A robust deep convolutional neural network for monkeypox disease detection and classification. Neural Networks, 161, pp.757-775.
12. Bollino, R., Bovenzi, G., Cipolletta, F., Docimo, L., Gravina, M., Marrone, S., Parmeggiani, D. and Sansone, C., 2022. Synergy-Net: Artificial Intelligence at the Service of Oncological Prevention. Handbook of Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: Vol. 1-Advances and Applications, pp.389-424.
13. Carcagnì, P., Leo, M., Cuna, A., Mazzeo, P.L., Spagnolo, P., Celeste, G. and Distante, C., 2019. Classification of skin lesions by combining multilevel learnings in a DenseNet architecture. In Image Analysis and Processing–ICIAP 2019: 20th International Conference, Trento, Italy, September 9–13, 2019, Proceedings, Part I 20 (pp. 335-344). Springer International Publishing.
14. Chauhan, N.K. and Singh, K., 2018, September. A review on conventional machine learning vs deep learning. In 2018 International conference on computing, power and communication technologies (GUCON) (pp. 347-352). IEEE.
15. Ching, T., Himmelstein, D.S., Beaulieu-Jones, B.K., Kalinin, A.A., Do, B.T., Way, G.P., Ferrero, E., Agapow, P.M., Zietz, M., Hoffman, M.M. and Xie, W., 2018. Opportunities and obstacles for deep learning in biology and medicine. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 15(141), p.20170387.
16. Dieleman, S., De Fauw, J. and Kavukcuoglu, K., 2016, June. Exploiting cyclic symmetry in convolutional neural networks. In International conference on machine learning (pp. 1889-1898). PMLR.
17. Du-Harpur, X., Watt, F.M., Luscombe, N.M. and Lynch, M.D., 2020. What is AI? Applications of artificial intelligence to dermatology. British Journal of Dermatology, 183(3), pp.423-430.
18. Espinosa, R., Ponce, H., Gutiérrez, S., Martínez-Villaseñor, L., Brieva, J. and Moya-Albor, E., 2019. A vision-based approach for fall detection using multiple cameras and convolutional neural networks: A case study using the UP-Fall detection dataset. Computers in biology and medicine, 115, p.103520.
19. Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R.A., Ko, J., Swetter, S.M., Blau, H.M. and Thrun, S., 2017. Dermatologist-level classification of

skin cancer with deep neural networks. nature, 542(7639), pp.115-118.
20. Gholamalinezhad, H. and Khosravi, H., 2020. Pooling methods in deep neural networks, a review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07485.
21. Gilpin, L.H., Bau, D., Yuan, B.Z., Bajwa, A., Specter, M. and Kagal, L., 2018, October. Explaining explanations: An overview of interpretability of machine learning. In 2018 IEEE 5th International Conference on data science and advanced analytics (DSAA) (pp. 80-89). IEEE.
22. Gandarias, J.M., Garcia-Cerezo, A.J. and Gomez-de-Gabriel, J.M., 2019. CNN-based methods for object recognition with high-resolution tactile sensors. IEEE Sensors Journal, 19(16), pp.6872-6882.
23. Gu, Y., Ge, Z., Bonnington, C.P. and Zhou, J., 2019. Progressive transfer learning and adversarial domain adaptation for cross-domain skin disease classification. IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics, 24(5), pp.1379-1393.
24. Gu, R., Wang, G., Song, T., Huang, R., Aertsen, M., Deprest, J., Ourselin, S., Vercauteren, T. and Zhang, S., 2020. CA-Net: Comprehensive attention convolutional neural networks for explainable medical image segmentation. IEEE transactions on medical imaging, 40(2), pp.699-711.
25. Hamida, S., El Gannour, O., Maafiri, A., Lamalem, Y., Haddou-Oumouloud, I. and Cherradi, B., 2023, May. Data Balancing through Data Augmentation to Improve Transfer Learning Performance for Skin Disease Prediction. In 2023 3rd International Conference on Innovative Research in Applied Science, Engineering and Technology (IRASET) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
26. Han, S.S., Park, G.H., Lim, W., Kim, M.S., Na, J.I., Park, I. and Chang, S.E., 2018. Deep neural networks show an equivalent and often superior performance to dermatologists in onychomycosis diagnosis: Automatic construction of onychomycosis datasets by region-based convolutional deep neural network. PloS one, 13(1), p.e0191493.
27. Haque, K.F. and Abdelgawad, A., 2020. A deep learning approach to detect COVID-19 patients from chest X-ray images. AI, 1(3), p.27.
28. Hannun, A.Y., Rajpurkar, P., Haghpanahi, M., Tison, G.H., Bourn, C., Turakhia, M.P. and Ng, A.Y., 2019. Cardiologist-level arrhythmia detection and classification in ambulatory electrocardiograms using a deep neural network. Nature medicine, 25(1), pp.65-69.
29. Hasan, M.K., Elahi, M.T.E., Alam, M.A., Jawad, M.T. and Martí, R., 2022. DermoExpert: Skin lesion classification using a hybrid convolutional neural network through segmentation, transfer learning, and augmentation. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 28, p.100819.
30. Hassan, M.M., Hassan, M.M., Yasmin, F., Khan, M.A.R., Zaman, S., Islam, K.K. and Bairagi, A.K., 2023. A comparative assessment of machine learning algorithms with the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator for breast cancer detection and prediction. Decision Analytics Journal, 7, p.100245.
31. Hosseini, M.S., Bejnordi, B.E., Trinh, V.Q.H., Hasan, D., Li, X., Kim, T., Zhang, H., Wu, T., Chinniah, K., Maghsoudlou, S. and Zhang, R., 2023. Computational Pathology: A Survey Review and The Way Forward. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05482.
32. Hughes, J.W., Olgin, J.E., Avram, R., Abreau, S.A., Sittler, T., Radia, K., Hsia, H., Walters, T., Lee, B., Gonzalez, J.E. and Tison, G.H., 2021. Performance of a convolutional neural network and explainability technique for 12-lead electrocardiogram interpretation. JAMA cardiology, 6(11), pp.1285-1295.
33. Inthiyaz, S., Altahan, B.R., Ahammad, S.H., Rajesh, V., Kalangi, R.R., Smirani, L.K., Hossain, M.A. and Rashed, A.N.Z., 2023. Skin disease detection using deep learning. Advances in Engineering Software, 175, p.103361.
34. Janoria, H., Minj, J. and Patre, P., 2020, November. Classification of skin disease from skin images using transfer learning technique. In 2020 4th International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Aerospace Technology (ICECA) (pp. 888-895). IEEE.
35. Juyal, S., Sharma, S. and Shukla, A.S., 2021. Smart skin health monitoring using AI-enabled cloud-based IoT. Materials Today: Proceedings, 46, pp.10539-10545.
36. Kalpana, B., Reshmy, A.K., Pandi, S.S. and Dhanasekaran, S., 2023. OESV-KRF: optimal ensemble support vector kernel random forest based early detection and classification of skin diseases. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, 85, p.104779.
37. Kavitha, R., Jothi, D.K., Saravanan, K., Swain, M.P., Gonzáles, J.L.A., Bhardwaj, R.J. and Adomako, E., 2023. Ant colony optimization-enabled CNN deep learning technique for accurate detection of cervical cancer. BioMed Research International, 2023.
38. Ker, J., Wang, L., Rao, J. and Lim, T., 2017. Deep learning applications in medical image analysis. Ieee Access, 6, pp.9375-9389.
39. Khamparia, A., Singh, P.K., Rani, P., Samanta, D., Khanna, A. and Bhushan, B., 2021. An internet of health things-driven deep learning framework for detection and classification of skin cancer using transfer learning. Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies, 32(7), p.e3963.
40. Khan, M., Jan, B., Farman, H., Ahmad, J., Farman, H. and Jan, Z., 2019. Deep learning methods and applications. Deep learning: convergence to big data analytics, pp.31-42.
41. Khan, A., Sohail, A., Zahoora, U. and Qureshi, A.S., 2020. A survey of the recent architectures of deep convolutional neural networks. Artificial intelligence review, 53, pp.5455-5516.
42. Kim, B., Park, J. and Suh, J., 2020. Transparency and accountability in AI decision support: Explaining and visualizing convolutional neural networks for text information. Decision Support Systems, 134, p.113302.
43. Kimball, A.B., Jacobson, C., Weiss, S., Vreeland, M.G. and Wu, Y., 2005. The psychosocial burden of psoriasis. American journal of clinical dermatology, 6, pp.383-392.
44. Korotkov, K. and Garcia, R., 2012. Computerized analysis of pigmented skin lesions: a review. Artificial intelligence in medicine, 56(2), pp.69-90.
45. Kwasigroch, A., Mikołajczyk, A. and Grochowski, M., 2017, August. Deep neural networks approach to skin lesions classification—a comparative analysis. In 2017 22nd

international conference on methods and models in automation and robotics (MMAR) (pp. 1069-1074). IEEE.
46. Li, Z., Wang, H., Han, Q., Liu, J., Hou, M., Chen, G., Tian, Y. and Weng, T., 2022. Convolutional Neural Network with Multiscale Fusion and Attention Mechanism for Skin Diseases Assisted Diagnosis. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2022.
47. Liang, S. and Gu, Y., 2021. A deep convolutional neural network to simultaneously localize and recognize waste types in images. Waste Management, 126, pp.247-257.
48. Liopyris, K., Gregoriou, S., Dias, J. and Stratigos, A.J., 2022. Artificial Intelligence in Dermatology: Challenges and Perspectives. Dermatology and Therapy, pp.1-15.
49. Liu, W., Wang, Z., Liu, X., Zeng, N., Liu, Y. and Alsaadi, F.E., 2017. A survey of deep neural network architectures and their applications. Neurocomputing, 234, pp.11-26.
50. Maduranga, M.W.P. and Nandasena, D., 2022. Mobile-based skin disease diagnosis system using convolutional neural networks (CNN). IJ Image Graphics Signal Process., 3, pp.47-57.
51. Manzoor, K., Majeed, F., Siddique, A., Meraj, T., Rauf, H.T., El-Meligy, M.A., Sharaf, M. and Elgawad, A.E.E.A., 2022. A lightweight approach for skin lesion detection through optimal features fusion. Computers, Materials & Continua, 70(1), pp.1617-1630.
52. Mieras, L.F., Taal, A.T., Post, E.B., Ndeve, A.G. and Van Hees, C.L., 2018. The development of a mobile application to support peripheral health workers to diagnose and treat people with skin diseases in resource-poor settings. Tropical medicine and infectious disease, 3(3), p.102.
53. Mohapatra, S., Abhishek, N.V.S., Bardhan, D., Ghosh, A.A. and Mohanty, S., 2021. Comparison of MobileNet and ResNet CNN Architectures in the CNN-Based Skin Cancer Classifier Model. Machine Learning for Healthcare Applications, pp.169-186.
54. Nahata, H. and Singh, S.P., 2020. Deep learning solutions for skin cancer detection and diagnosis. Machine Learning with Health Care Perspective: Machine Learning and Healthcare, pp.159-182.
55. Nirthika, R., Manivannan, S., Ramanan, A. and Wang, R., 2022. Pooling in convolutional neural networks for medical image analysis: a survey and an empirical study. Neural Computing and Applications, 34(7), pp.5321-5347.
56. Panthakkan, A., Anzar, S.M., Jamal, S. and Mansoor, W., 2022. Concatenated Xception-ResNet50—A novel hybrid approach for accurate skin cancer prediction. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 150, p.106170.
57. Pathan, S., Prabhu, K.G. and Siddalingaswamy, P.C., 2018. Techniques and algorithms for computer aided diagnosis of pigmented skin lesions—A review. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control, 39, pp.237-262.
58. Perez, E., Reyes, O. and Ventura, S., 2021. Convolutional neural networks for the automatic diagnosis of melanoma: An extensive experimental study. Medical image analysis, 67, p.101858.
59. Qin, Z., Yu, F., Liu, C. and Chen, X., 2018. How convolutional neural network see the world-A survey of convolutional neural network visualization methods. arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.11191.
60. Quinn, J.A., Nakasi, R., Mugagga, P.K., Byanyima, P., Lubega, W. and Andama, A., 2016, December. Deep convolutional neural networks for microscopy-based point of care diagnostics. In Machine learning for healthcare conference (pp. 271-281). PMLR.
61. Rathod, J., Waghmode, V., Sodha, A. and Bhavathankar, P., 2018, March. Diagnosis of skin diseases using Convolutional Neural Networks. In 2018 second international conference on electronics, communication and aerospace technology (ICECA) (pp. 1048-1051). IEEE.
62. Rao, K.S., Yelkar, P.S., Pise, O.N. and Borde, S., 2021. Skin Disease Detection using Machine Learning. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 9(3), pp.2278-0181.
63. Reshi, A.A., Rustam, F., Mehmood, A., Alhossan, A., Alrabiah, Z., Ahmad, A., Alsuwailem, H. and Choi, G.S., 2021. An efficient CNN model for COVID-19 disease detection based on X-ray image classification. Complexity, 2021, pp.1-12.
64. Reyes, M., Meier, R., Pereira, S., Silva, C.A., Dahlweid, F.M., Tengg-Kobligk, H.V., Summers, R.M. and Wiest, R., 2020. On the interpretability of artificial intelligence in radiology: challenges and opportunities. Radiology: artificial intelligence, 2(3), p.e190043.
65. Rigel, D.S., Russak, J. and Friedman, R., 2010. The evolution of melanoma diagnosis: 25 years beyond the ABCDs. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians, 60(5), pp.301-316.
66. Salehi, A.W., Khan, S., Gupta, G., Alabduallah, B.I., Almjally, A., Alsolai, H., Siddiqui, T. and Mellit, A., 2023. A Study of CNN and Transfer Learning in Medical Imaging: Advantages, Challenges, Future Scope. Sustainability, 15(7), p.5930.
67. Salih, O. and Duffy, K.J., 2023. Optimization Convolutional Neural Network for Automatic Skin Lesion Diagnosis Using a Genetic Algorithm. Applied Sciences, 13(5), p.3248.
68. Saranya, A. and Subhashini, R., 2023. A systematic review of Explainable Artificial Intelligence models and applications: Recent developments and future trends. Decision analytics journal, p.100230.
69. Sen, C.K., Gordillo, G.M., Roy, S., Kirsner, R., Lambert, L., Hunt, T.K., Gottrup, F., Gurtner, G.C. and Longaker, M.T., 2009. Human skin wounds: a major and snowballing threat to public health and the economy. Wound repair and regeneration, 17(6), pp.763-771.
70. Shahin, M., Chen, F.F., Hosseinzadeh, A., Koodiani, H.K., Shahin, A. and Nafi, O.A., 2023. A smartphone-based application for an early skin disease prognosis: Towards a lean healthcare system via computer-based vision. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 57, p.102036.
71. Shanthi, T., Sabeenian, R.S. and Anand, R., 2020. Automatic diagnosis of skin diseases using convolution neural network. Microprocessors and Microsystems, 76, p.103074.
72. Sharma, M., Jain, B., Kargeti, C., Gupta, V. and Gupta, D., 2021. Detection and diagnosis of skin diseases using residual neural networks (RESNET). International Journal of Image and Graphics, 21(05), p.2140002.

73. Shetty, B., Fernandes, R., Rodrigues, A.P., Chengoden, R., Bhattacharya, S. and Lakshmanna, K., 2022. Skin lesion classification of dermoscopic images using machine learning and convolutional neural network. Scientific Reports, 12(1), p.18134.
74. Srinidhi, C.L., Ciga, O. and Martel, A.L., 2021. Deep neural network models for computational histopathology: A survey. Medical Image Analysis, 67, p.101813.
75. Srinivasu, P.N., SivaSai, J.G., Ijaz, M.F., Bhoi, A.K., Kim, W. and Kang, J.J., 2021. Classification of skin disease using deep learning neural networks with MobileNet V2 and LSTM. Sensors, 21(8), p.2852.
76. Stofa, M.M., Zulkifley, M.A. and Zainuri, M.A.A.M., 2021. Skin lesions classification and segmentation: a review. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 12(10).
77. Sun, X., Yang, J., Sun, M. and Wang, K., 2016. A benchmark for automatic visual classification of clinical skin disease images. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI 14 (pp. 206-222). Springer International Publishing.
78. Sun, T., 2019. Applying deep learning to audit procedures: An illustrative framework. Accounting Horizons, 33(3), pp.89-109.
79. Tabrizchi, H., Parvizpour, S. and Razmara, J., 2022. An improved VGG model for skin cancer detection. Neural Processing Letters, pp.1-18.
80. Tang, P., Liang, Q., Yan, X., Xiang, S. and Zhang, D., 2020. GP-CNN-DTEL: Global-part CNN model with data-transformed ensemble learning for skin lesion classification. IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics, 24(10), pp.2870-2882.
81. Tariq, M., Iqbal, S., Ayesha, H., Abbas, I., Ahmad, K.T. and Niazi, M.F.K., 2021. Medical image based breast cancer diagnosis: State of the art and future directions. Expert Systems with Applications, 167, p.114095.
82. Thomsen, K., Christensen, A.L., Iversen, L., Lomholt, H.B. and Winther, O., 2020. Deep learning for diagnostic binary classification of multiple-lesion skin diseases. Frontiers in medicine, 7, p.574329.
83. Unal, Y., Taspinar, Y.S., Cinar, I., Kursun, R. and Koklu, M., 2022. Application of pre-trained deep convolutional neural networks for coffee beans species detection. Food Analytical Methods, 15(12), pp.3232-3243.
84. Van, S.P., Le, H.M., Thanh, D.V., Dang, T.D., Loc, H.H. and Anh, D.T., 2020. Deep learning convolutional neural network in rainfall–runoff modelling. Journal of Hydroinformatics, 22(3), pp.541-561.
85. Velasco, J., Pascion, C., Alberio, J.W., Apuang, J., Cruz, J.S., Gomez, M.A., Molina Jr, B., Tuala, L., Thio-ac, A. and Jorda Jr, R., 2019. A smartphone-based skin disease classification using mobilenet cnn. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.07929.
86. Venugopal, V., Raj, N.I., Nath, M.K. and Stephen, N., 2023. A deep neural network using modified EfficientNet for skin cancer detection in dermoscopic images. Decision Analytics Journal, p.100278.
87. Vijayalakshmi, M.M., 2019. Melanoma skin cancer detection using image processing and machine learning. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD), 3(4), pp.780-784.
88. Voon, W., Hum, Y.C., Tee, Y.K., Yap, W.S., Salim, M.I.M., Tan, T.S., Mokayed, H. and Lai, K.W., 2022. Performance analysis of seven Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) with transfer learning for Invasive Ductal Carcinoma (IDC) grading in breast histopathological images. Scientific reports, 12(1), p.19200.
89. Wang, X., Lu, Y., Wang, Y. and Chen, W.B., 2018, July. Diabetic retinopathy stage classification using convolutional neural networks. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IRI) (pp. 465-471). IEEE.
90. Wang, Y., Cai, J., Louie, D.C., Wang, Z.J. and Lee, T.K., 2021. Incorporating clinical knowledge with constrained classifier chain into a multimodal deep network for melanoma detection. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 137, p.104812.
91. Wen, H., Yu, W., Wu, Y., Zhao, J., Liu, X., Kuang, Z. and Fan, R., 2022. Acne detection and severity evaluation with interpretable convolutional neural network models. Technology and Health Care, 30(S1), pp.143-153.
92. Winkler, J.K., Fink, C., Toberer, F., Enk, A., Deinlein, T., Hofmann-Wellenhof, R., Thomas, L., Lallas, A., Blum, A., Stolz, W. and Haenssle, H.A., 2019. Association between surgical skin markings in dermoscopic images and diagnostic performance of a deep learning convolutional neural network for melanoma recognition. JAMA dermatology, 155(10), pp.1135-1141.
93. Wu, Z.H.E., Zhao, S., Peng, Y., He, X., Zhao, X., Huang, K., Wu, X., Fan, W., Li, F., Chen, M. and Li, J., 2019. Studies on different CNN algorithms for face skin disease classification based on clinical images. IEEE Access, 7, pp.66505-66511.
94. Wu, H., Yin, H., Chen, H., Sun, M., Liu, X., Yu, Y., Tang, Y., Long, H., Zhang, B., Zhang, J. and Zhou, Y., 2020. A deep learning, image based approach for automated diagnosis for inflammatory skin diseases. Annals of translational medicine, 8(9).
95. Xiang, K., Peng, L., Yang, H., Li, M., Cao, Z., Jiang, S. and Qu, G., 2021. A novel weight pruning strategy for light weight neural networks with application to the diagnosis of skin disease. Applied Soft Computing, 111, p.107707.
96. Xu, X., Lin, L., Sun, S. and Wu, S., 2023. A review of the application of three-dimensional convolutional neural networks for the diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease using neuroimaging. Reviews in the Neurosciences, (0).
97. Yamashita, R., Nishio, M., Do, R.K.G. and Togashi, K., 2018. Convolutional neural networks: an overview and application in radiology. Insights into imaging, 9, pp.611-629.
98. Yang, Y., Wang, J., Xie, F., Liu, J., Shu, C., Wang, Y., Zheng, Y. and Zhang, H., 2021. A convolutional neural network trained with dermoscopic images of psoriasis performed on par with 230 dermatologists. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 139, p.104924.
99. Yu, Z., Wang, K., Wan, Z., Xie, S. and Lv, Z., 2023. Popular deep learning algorithms for disease prediction: a review. Cluster Computing, 26(2), pp.1231-1251.
100. Zhao, Z., Wu, C.M., Zhang, S., He, F., Liu, F., Wang, B., Huang, Y., Shi, W., Jian, D., Xie, H. and Yeh, C.Y., 2021. A novel

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 11 Issue: 10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10.8494
***Article Received:** 30 July 2023 **Revised:** 19 September 2023 **Accepted:** 02 October 2023*

convolutional neural network for the diagnosis and classification of rosacea: usability study. JMIR medical informatics, 9(3), p.e23415.

101. Zhang, R., Zheng, Y., Poon, C.C., Shen, D. and Lau, J.Y., 2018. Polyp detection during colonoscopy using a regression-based convolutional neural network with a tracker. Pattern recognition, 83, pp.209-219.
102. Zhang, B., Zhou, X., Luo, Y., Zhang, H., Yang, H., Ma, J. and Ma, L., 2021. Opportunities and challenges: Classification of skin disease based on deep learning. Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering, 34(1), pp.1-14.
103. Zhu, C.Y., Wang, Y.K., Chen, H.P., Gao, K.L., Shu, C., Wang, J.C., Yan, L.F., Yang, Y.G., Xie, F.Y. and Liu, J., 2021. A deep learning based framework for diagnosing multiple skin diseases in a clinical environment. Frontiers in medicine, 8, p.626369.