International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 8 Issue: 3
***Article Received:** 25 January 2020 **Revised:** 12 February 2020 **Accepted:** 30 March 2020*

A Survey of Machine Learning Approaches for Energy Consumption Optimization in Wireless Sensor Networks

Bhavini D Parmar, Jitendra M Shah

Department of Electronics & Communication, Dharmsinh Desai University, Nadiad

Abstract
Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) play a vital role in numerous fields, such as environmental monitoring, industrial automation, and healthcare systems. One of the major limitations in WSNs is the finite energy capacity of sensor nodes, which directly affects the network's lifespan. Leveraging Machine Learning (ML) methods offers promising solutions to enhance energy efficiency by enabling intelligent control over routing strategies, data handling, and fault management processes. This study explores the influence of various ML techniques on minimizing energy consumption within WSNs. We assess the performance of different ML approaches, including supervised learning, reinforcement learning, and clustering methods, to determine their effectiveness in energy optimization. Through simulation-based analysis, our findings reveal that ML-driven models significantly outperform conventional routing protocols in terms of energy savings, contributing to improved network performance and prolonged node longevity.

Keywords: Sensor, environmental, automation, consumption, conventional.

Introduction

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) consist of numerous sensor nodes distributed across specific areas to monitor environmental or physical parameters such as temperature, humidity, and pressure. These networks are widely implemented in various domains, including smart infrastructure, defense surveillance, and emergency response systems. A critical limitation of WSNs is their dependency on limited battery power. Since the energy supply of sensor nodes is finite and often non-rechargeable, excessive energy usage can lead to node failures, thereby compromising the overall functionality of the network[1].

Recent advancements in Machine Learning (ML) have introduced new opportunities for enhancing the energy efficiency of WSNs. ML enables the network to make data-driven, intelligent decisions regarding routing paths, communication efficiency, and energy-saving mechanisms. Key ML applications in this context involve designing energy-efficient routing algorithms, adaptive data transmission models, and predictive maintenance systems to reduce unnecessary energy expenditure.

This study presents a performance evaluation of WSNs with a particular focus on energy consumption through the lens of machine learning. We investigate the effectiveness of various ML techniques in optimizing energy use and improving the operational longevity of sensor networks[9].

Energy Consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)

Energy consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) is influenced by various operational activities and network design factors[1]. Since sensor nodes are typically battery-powered, managing these energy demands is crucial for prolonging the network's lifetime. Key contributors to energy consumption in WSNs include:

Data Transmission: Transmitting data to the base station or neighboring nodes is one of the most energy-intensive operations. The energy cost increases with transmission distance and frequency.

Data Aggregation: Sensor nodes that serve as data aggregators—collecting and combining information from nearby nodes—consume additional energy, especially when handling large volumes of data or performing complex processing.

Routing Mechanisms: The routing strategy used to deliver data from sensor nodes to the base station significantly affects energy usage. Inefficient routing paths can lead to rapid depletion of energy in certain nodes, resulting in network imbalance and reduced reliability[8].

Node Sleep/Wake Scheduling: Energy is consumed while nodes are in active or listening modes. To conserve energy, nodes can be placed in sleep mode during idle periods.

However, improper scheduling may lead to missed events or delayed data transmission[5].

Efficient management of these factors is essential to enhance the performance and energy efficiency of WSNs. Machine Learning techniques are increasingly being explored to dynamically optimize these aspects based on the network's conditions and historical behavior.

Since the energy resources of sensor nodes are limited, efficient routing and data aggregation strategies are vital. Traditional protocols, such as LEACH (Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy) **,**PEGASIS (Power-Efficient GAthering in Sensor Information System),HEED (Hybrid Energy-Efficient Distributed Clustering) **and** TEEN (Threshold-sensitive Energy Efficient sensor Network protocol)**,** focus on energy efficiency but may not adapt well to dynamic network conditions[8].

Machine Learning for Energy Optimization

Machine Learning (ML) techniques have emerged as effective tools for enhancing energy efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), enabling intelligent and adaptive decision-making based on both real-time observations and historical data. These techniques empower sensor nodes and network controllers to analyze patterns, predict optimal strategies, and automate decisions that can reduce energy consumption while maintaining performance. Among the most commonly used ML approaches in WSNs are supervised learning, reinforcement learning, and unsupervised learning[2].

Supervised learning methods, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forests, rely on labeled training data to develop models capable of predicting energy-efficient routing paths and optimizing data aggregation. These models can be trained offline using historical network data, allowing for high-accuracy decisions during deployment with minimal energy expenditure during inference. This approach is particularly effective in scenarios where past network behavior can guide future operations.

Reinforcement learning (RL), including algorithms like Q-learning, enables sensor nodes to learn optimal routing and energy management policies by interacting with their environment. Through trial-and-error learning, RL agents seek to maximize cumulative rewards — such as minimizing energy use or maximizing packet delivery — over time. This makes RL particularly suited for dynamic environments, as it allows continuous adaptation to changing network conditions. However, the exploration and training phases can be energy-intensive, especially for resource-constrained sensor nodes[3][4].

Unsupervised learning, especially clustering algorithms like K-means, helps reduce energy consumption by organizing sensor nodes into clusters based on spatial proximity or data similarity. These clusters centralize data aggregation to a designated cluster head, reducing redundant communication and lowering the total energy used for transmissions. While clustering is lightweight and well-suited for on-node deployment, its static nature can limit adaptability to network changes over time[6][7].

Hybrid ML techniques combine multiple learning methods to enhance energy efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs). By integrating strengths of different approaches—such as supervised learning for accurate prediction, unsupervised clustering for lightweight node grouping, and reinforcement learning for adaptability—hybrid models overcome individual limitations. For example, clustering combined with supervised learning can optimize routing within clusters, while fuzzy logic integrated with reinforcement learning reduces training complexity. Deep RL paired with clustering enables dynamic energy-aware decisions, and neuro-fuzzy systems blend learning with rule-based reasoning. These hybrid approaches offer a balanced, flexible, and energy-efficient solution, especially in dynamic or resource-constrained WSN environments[10].

Comparative study of various ML Techniques for Energy Optimization in WSNs

ML Technique	Category	Applications in WSN	Energy Efficiency Impact	Computation Overhead	Adaptability	Suitability for WSN
Decision Trees	Supervised	Fault detection, CH selection	Medium	Low	Moderate	Excellent for simple tasks
Support Vector Machines (SVM)	Supervised	Anomaly detection, event classification	Medium	Medium	Moderate	Limited for resource-constrained nodes

ML Technique	Category	Applications in WSN	Energy Efficiency Impact	Computation Overhead	Adaptability	Suitability for WSN
Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	Supervised	Energy prediction, fault forecasting	High	High	Moderate	Suitable with edge computing
Random Forests	Supervised	Load classification, data fusion	High	Medium	Moderate	Best at sink/cloud level
K-Means Clustering	Unsupervised	CH selection, node clustering	Medium	Low	Low	Very good for static WSNs
DBSCAN / Hierarchical Clustering	Unsupervised	Adaptive grouping, mobility-aware clustering	Medium	Medium	Moderate	Good for dense WSNs
Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	Unsupervised	Data compression, feature selection	Medium	Low	Not adaptive	Useful for pre-processing at sink
Autoencoders	Deep Unsupervised	Data reduction, anomaly detection	Medium	High	Moderate	Limited on-node; good at sink
Q-Learning	Reinforcement	Routing, duty cycling, CH rotation	Very High	Medium–High	Excellent	Training energy costly, but efficient policies
Deep Q-Networks (DQN)	Deep RL	Complex adaptive control	Very High	High	Excellent	Requires offloading; high energy for learning
XGBoost / Gradient Boosting	Ensemble Supervised	Energy demand forecasting	High	Medium–High	Moderate	Powerful at sink, not node-level
Fuzzy Logic + ML Hybrid	Hybrid	Adaptive decision-making, routing	Medium	Medium	Moderate	Flexible, semi-interpretable

Conclusion

Energy efficiency is a critical challenge in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), and Machine Learning (ML) techniques have emerged as effective tools to optimize energy consumption. Different ML approaches contribute uniquely: supervised learning offers high accuracy in predicting energy use and detecting anomalies when historical labeled data is available, though its computational complexity often requires offline training at centralized locations to prevent excessive energy drain on sensor nodes, with low energy use during inference; unsupervised learning methods, such as clustering algorithms like K-Means, are lightweight and suitable for on-node deployment, reducing energy consumption by minimizing transmission distances, but their limited adaptability to network dynamics may cause suboptimal energy use over time; reinforcement learning (RL), including Q-Learning and Deep RL, excels in adaptive, long-term energy optimization by continuously refining policies for communication, sensing, and sleep scheduling, though the learning phase can be energy-intensive, challenging resource-constrained nodes. In summary, no single ML technique perfectly fits all WSN energy scenarios: supervised learning is ideal when labeled data and external training are available, unsupervised learning suits static, low-power nodes, and reinforcement learning is best for dynamic, adaptive environments despite higher training energy costs. Hybrid models that combine these methods offer the most practical and balanced solution, effectively optimizing energy consumption while respecting the resource limitations typical of real-world WSN deployments.

References

[1] R. C. Luo, L. Y. Tseng, and J. W. Jang, "A study on an energy-efficient self-organization clustering scheme of wireless sensor networks," *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2000, pp. 511-518.

[2] W. Heinzelman, A. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "Energy-efficient communication protocol for wireless microsensor networks," *Proc. 33rd Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, 2000, pp. 10 pp. vol.2, doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2000.926982.

[3] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto, *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*, 2nd ed., Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2018.

[4] P. D. Yadav, M. M. Sufyan Beg, and A. K. Singh, "Energy Efficient Reinforcement Learning based Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network," *Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 124, no. 8, pp. 20–25, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.5120/ijca2015906042.

[5] M. H. Rehmani, H. R. S. Souza, M. Reisslein, and A. Rachedi, "Software Defined Networks-Based Smart Grid Communication: A Comprehensive Survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 2314-2347, Thirdquarter 2018, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2018.2811322.

[6] S. Misra, S. Kumar, G. S. Kumar, and D. P. Agrawal, "Energy Efficient Clustering in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 22, pp. 2291–2316, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s11276-015-1019-0

[7] H. Zhang, A. Mahanti, and N. O. Tippenhauer, "Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithms in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 67234-67250, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2913574.

[8] K. Akkaya and M. Younis, "A Survey on Routing Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 325-349, May 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2005.01.005.

[9] V. C. Gungor and G. P. Hancke, "Industrial Wireless Sensor Networks: Challenges, Design Principles, and Technical Approaches," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 56, no. 10, pp. 4258-4265, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TIE.2009.2015754.

[10] S. S. Manjula and T. S. Kumar, "Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithm Based on PSO and K-Means for Wireless Sensor Networks," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 85, pp. 106-113, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2016.05.258.

[11] L. Wang, J. Wang, and M. T. Lazarescu, "A Survey of Reinforcement Learning in Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1447-1463, Secondquarter 2018, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2017.2770701.

[12] C. Y. Chong and S. P. Kumar, "Sensor Networks: Evolution, Opportunities, and Challenges," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 91, no. 8, pp. 1247-1256, Aug. 2003, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2003.814918.

[13] I. F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, "Wireless sensor networks: a survey," *Computer Networks*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 393-422, Mar. 2002, doi: 10.1016/S1389-1286(01)00302-4.

[14] K. Kar, S. Banerjee, "Node placement for connected coverage in sensor networks," *Proc. 1st IEEE Int. Conf. Broadband Networks (BroadNets)*, 2004, pp. 629–638, doi: 10.1109/BROADNETS.2004.1367692.

[15] H. Karl and A. Willig, *Protocols and Architectures for Wireless Sensor Networks*, John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

[16] J. N. Al-Karaki and A. E. Kamal, "Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 6-28, Dec. 2004, doi: 10.1109/MWC.2004.1368893.