International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 12 Issue: 2
***Article Received:** 25 November 2023 **Revised:** 12 December 2023 **Accepted:** 30 January 2024*

A Detailed Review on Fault Diagnosis of Electronic Systems Using Intelligent Techniques

Bharatbhai Pravinbhai Navadiya
Electrical Engineer, Svtronics Inc.
bharatnavadiya96@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This work look at different smart ways to find problems in electronic things, which getting more important as electronic stuff get more complex and need to work better. We talk about five main ways: Rule-Based, Model-Based, Case-Based, Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks, and Hybrid Approaches. Each way have good and bad points. Rule-Based use expert knowledge but hard to keep up. Model-Based try to copy how things work but often too slow for big systems. Case-Based learn from old problems but need lots of examples. Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks good with unclear stuff but sometimes hard to understand. Hybrid Approaches mix these ways to get the best parts of each. We look at how these ways work, where they used, and what problems they have. We also talk about what might happen with these ways in the future. Smart ways to find problems help electronic things work better and cost less to fix. They used more and more in important areas like flying, health care, and big machines. These ways can look at lots of information fast and find problems quick, which really important for keeping things safe and working. The history of using smart ways to find problems in electronic things go back many years. It start with simple computer thinking in the 1980s and 1990s. Then it get better with new math ideas in the 2000s. Now, with big computer power and lots of data, machine learning getting really good at finding problems. As electronic things keep getting more complex, these smart ways to find problems will probably get even more important. The big goal is to make electronic things that can find and fix their own problems, so they work better and need less fixing by people.

Keywords: Electrical Engineering, Construction Sites, Artificial Intelligence, HVAC Systems, Predictive Maintenance

1. INTRODUCTION

Fault Diagnosis of Electronic Systems by Intelligent Techniques is become very important as the growing complexity and reliability demands of modern electronic systems. As electronics market become more into critical areas like aerospace, healthcare, industrial control etc. the need for accurate and efficient fault detecting and diagnosis has increased. Traditional methods of fault diagnosis are struggle to keep up with the complex of contemporary systems, leading to the taking help of intelligent techniques to address these challenges.

The application of intelligent techniques to fault diagnosis offers some advantages. These methods can is processing and analyzing large amounts of sensor data more efficiently than conventional approaches, giving us real-time monitoring and rapid fault detection. This is too crucial for preventing failures or minimizing their impacting in critical systems. Also, intelligent techniques are better equipped to handling the uncertainty and incomplete information often presented in real-world electronic systems, which are operating in noisy and dynamic environments. Cost reduction also significant driver for the adoption intelligent fault diagnosis techniques. Taking predictive maintenance and more accurate troubleshooting, these methods can reduce maintenance costs and system downtime. This is particularly doable in industries where equipment failures can lead to big financial losses and safety risks. [1]

The history of applying intelligent techniques to fault diagnosis in electronic systems look back several decades. The field began to ramp up in the late 1980s and early 1990s, with expert systems are the first AI techniques [2][3][4] applied to this domain. As the field progressed through the 1990s, researchers have been exploring the use of neural networks and fuzzy logic systems for fault diagnosis. These techniques have new ways to model complex systems also make decisions based on imprecise or uncertain information. The 2000s saw the big development and good application of more advanced techniques, including support vector machines [5], genetic algorithms, hybrid intelligent systems etc [6]. These methods are improved the accuracy and efficiency of fault diagnosis, giving for more sophisticated analysis of system behavior and fault patterns. With the invention of big data and more powerful computing resources in the 2010s, machine learning and deep learning techniques are very popular in this field. These approaches can ramp up vast amounts of historical data to improving fault detection and diagnosis accuracy over time.

The field of fault diagnosis using intelligent techniques increase rapidly. Ongoing research focuses on developing new intelligent techniques and applying existing ones to various domains of electronic systems. As electronic systems become even more complex and interconnected, the importance of intelligent fault diagnosis is likely to grow, driving further innovation in this area. The ultimate goal remains to create more reliable, efficient, and self-diagnosing electronic systems that can operate with minimal downtime and maintenance requirements. [7][8]

2. DIAGNOSTIC PROCESS

Fault diagnosis in electronic systems is very important because to the increasing complexity and critical type of modern electronics. As devices becoming more complex and finer and interconnected, identifying and resolving issues quick and accurately becomes important. This diagnostic process is very essential for maintaining system reliability, minimizing downtime, reducing maintenance costs etc. In industries like aerospace, healthcare, manufacturing etc., where system failures can have severe consequences, efficient fault diagnosis can stop accidents, save lives, and avoid very much financial losses. Also, as electronic systems continuing to include in everyday life, from smartphones to smart homes, the ability to quickly diagnose and resolving issues becoming increasingly important for user satisfaction and product longevity. These steps from a basic framework for the diagnostic process in various electronic systems using intelligent techniques.

1. Fault Information Generation: This is the first step in the diagnostic process. It involving collecting relevant data from the electronic system with observation. This data can be come from various sources like sensors, test points, system logs etc. The information generating might have including voltage levels, current readings, temperature measurements, timing signals, or any other parameters relating to the system's operation. Advanced systems can use a combination of real-time monitoring and previous data to generate an all-in one picture of the system's behaviour. [9][10]

2. Fault Hypotheses Generation: Once the fault information is collecting, the next step is to generating potential fault hypotheses. This step involving analysing the collected data to select potential causes of the observed abnormal behaviour which is happening. Intelligent techniques play a very important role in this scenario like for eg, machine learning algorithms might be comparing the current system state with familiar fault patterns to suggesting possible issues. Expert systems could surely use rule-based reasoning to deducing potential faults based on the observed points. The goal of this step is to creating a list of possible faults that could have explain the system's current behaviour. [11][12]

3. Fault Hypothesis Discrimination: The final step in this process is to make difference with between the generated fault hypotheses to identifying the most likely cause of the problem. This often involves further testing or data analyse to check or rule out each hypothesis. Intelligent techniques can be particularly important clue in this stage. For example, probabilistic models can have used to rank the preference of each hypothesis. Neural networks also can be used to classify the fault with checking on additional input data. This step can also involve using additional tests or measurements to take more information on the initial data and tell if it is insufficient to make a sure diagnosis. [13][14]

While these three steps form a solid finding for the diagnostic process, it's important to note that in practice, the process can be more complex and may also contain some more additional steps or iterations. For example:

4. Fault Prediction: Some advanced systems including predictive characteristics, using processes like trend analysis or machine learning to guess potential faults before they happen.

5. Fault Isolation: Once a fault is diagnosed, there should be an additional step to isolate the specific component or subsystem responsible for the fault from all the other system.

6. Repair Recommendation: Many modern diagnostic systems going beyond just identify the fault to suggesting appropriate repair actions or maintenance procedures.

7. Learning and Adaptation: Advanced intelligent systems can include a feedback loop where the resulting of the checking process are used to

improve future diagnoses, learning from previous experience. [15]

3. TRADITIONAL APPROACHES

Rule-Based Systems

Approach: Rule-based diagnostic systems have represented expert knowledge as a series of "IF symptom(s) THEN fault(s)" rules. The system giving these rules to the given problem information, and generating new data iteratively until a solution is found. This approach very often requires hundreds or thousands of rules to do representing knowledge for a particular domain, so make it a comprehensive but potentially complex method of fault diagnosis.

Applications: Rule-based systems have applications in various electronic engineering fields. They have been using for diagnosing telephone networks and switching equipments also troubleshooting disk drives, and detected faults in various control systems. In the era of personal computing, these systems have been employing for PC repair and maintenance, as eaborated by the ESPCRM (Expert System for PC Repair and Maintenance). Other applications including diagnosing electronic forge press faults, analyzing complex PC boards, and performing server computer board diagnostics by is using processor memory dump analysis.

Drawbacks: Despite their widespread use, rule-based systems facing several challenges. The most important is the knowledge acquisition bottlenecking, which referring to the difficulty in translating expert knowledge to comprehensive rule sets. These systems also struggle with handling smooth faults that are not explicitly include in the rulebase. A very important drawback is their system dependence, requiring a new rulebase for every new system type, which limiting their flexibility and scalability. As the system growing more complex, maintenance can become very tough, further limiting the long-term viability of this approach for evolving technologies. [16][17]

Fault (Decision) Trees

Approach: Fault trees using symptoms or test results as starting points, branching out into a decision tree structure. These trees consisting of actions, decisions, and finally the repair recommendations, providing a step-by-step guide for technicians to have diagnosed and resolved issues. This approach offering a visual and logical path through the diagnostic process, so making it easier for less experienced technicians to following.

Applications: Fault trees have been widely using in various domains of electronic fault diagnosis. They have been very usefu usefulnessl in automotive electronic control system with diagnostics and color TV diagnosis. To increase their utility, hypermedia systems have been developing for navigating large diagnostic networks. Researchers have also working on automated fault tree generation because there are complex systems using circuit descriptions and fault simulations. Some advanced applications have added fault trees with case-based reasoning systems for more efficient tree generation.

Drawbacks: While fault trees are intuitive and easy to follow, they coming with their own set of limitations. For sophisticated systems, these trees are really extremely large and complex, making them heavy unwieldy to navigate. Like rule-based systems, they are system-dependent, meaning small engineering changes can initiate important updates to the tree structure. Fault trees also doesn't have any idea about into the underlying knowledge or reasoning process, so provide only a predetermined path without no explaining the reason behind each decision. Their limited adaptability to new situations or not assuming faults can be problematic in rapidly evolving technological environments. As systems growing more complex over time, maintaining and updating these trees can becoming a significant challenge, potentially reducing their effectiveness as a long-term diagnosing solution. [18]

4. MODEL BASED APPROACHES

Model-based approaches have been becoming similarly important in fault detection for electronic systems, offering sophisticated methods to diagnose and isolate faults. These approaches rely on various types of models in expressing system behavior and diagnosing faults, each with its own strengths and limitations. Four key model-based approaches that have significantly contribution to the field of electronic fault diagnosis are:

1. Fault Models (or Fault Dictionaries)

Approach: Fault models, also known as fault dictionaries, operate on the principle of guessing potential fault types and modeling only these specific faults. This approach involving a systematic process of inserting selected fault types into each parts of the system and then simulating the overall system behavior with these fault conditions happening. in through this process, a comprehensive fault dictionary is generated - essentially a list of fault/symptom pairs that showing which component may be defective when a particular symptom is

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 12 Issue: 2
***Article Received:** 25 November 2023 **Revised:** 12 December 2023 **Accepted:** 30 January 2024*

observed in the system. This method allowing for a direct mapping between observed symptoms and potential faults, making it very much useful for rapid diagnosis in well-understood systems.

Applications: The fault model approaching has its primary application in the diagnosis of digital circuits. It has been particularly effective in detecting and isolating common fault types like stuck-at faults (where a signal is stuck at either a '0' or '1'), bridging faults (where unintended short circuits happen between components), and delay faults (where timing issues affect the circuit's operation). In the view of testing simple digital combinational circuits, the process typically involving applying a series of binary test vectors to the circuit. The behavior of the circuit for each test pattern is then wrote for each modeled fault type, allowing for a compact mapping of fault conditions to observable behaviors.

Drawbacks: While fault models are very good at diagnosing guessed faults in combinational digital circuits, they are faced significant drawbacks when deal with unanticipated fault conditions. The effectiveness of this approach is mainly limited to the faults that have been only modeled, which may not cover all possible fault scenarios in complex systems. Also, the application of fault models becoming considerably more challenging when dealing with sequential circuits. In such cases, diagnosis requires a test sequence, not a single vector, and if the circuit's state is to lost during testing due to a fault, it may have gone impossible to complete the diagnostic sequence. This limitation has leading to proposals for techniques like circuit encapsulation, in which the circuit is split into more manageable parts for testing. Another major challenge is with large, complex circuits, where the quantity of test vectors are become prohibitively large, leading to impractical test times. To solve this, various data compression approaches can propose and implement, to reduce the volume of test data while maintaining diagnostic accuracy. [19][20]

2. Causal Models

Approach: Causal models represented a more abstract approach to fault diagnosis, using directed graphs to modelling the relationships between various system components and behaviors. In these models, nodes typically represent system variables, which correspond to symptoms and faults within the system. The links between these nodes representing the relationships between these variables, effectively mapping the cause-and-effect relationships within the system. Causal models iscan be assignment of numerical weights or probabilities to these links, showing the strength of each relationship. This probabilistic approach allows for the formation and ranking of fault hypotheses using Bayesian techniques. This providing a robust framework for dealing with uncertainty in the diagnostic process. Bayesian networks, a specific use of causal models, have gained particular prominence in this field due to their ability to handle complex, interdependent relationships.

Applications: Causal models have applications across various parts of electronic system diagnosis, showing their versatility and power. A special example is the use of Bayesian networks in diagnosing IC testers. In this, the knowledge of domain experts regarding the probability of different tester failure modes is put into a Bayesian network, allow for sophisticated probabilistic reasoning about to happen faults. This approach is valuable in complex systems where the relationships between components and potential faults are not straightforward and may involve multiple interdependencies. The flexibility of causal models also making them adaptable to different types of electronic systems.

Drawbacks: The primary limitation of causal models is can be called as the "knowledge acquisition bottleneck." Making these models requires deep expert knowledge of the application area, which can be challenging to obtain, formalize, and encoded into the model. This process is time-consuming and require very much resources, especially for complex systems with many interdependent components. But, once created, causal models offering important advantages. They can be presented complex structured knowledge about concepts more efficiently than rule-based systems, making greater computational efficiency in the diagnostic process. Also, causal models are build in the well-established mathematical theory of probability, providing a solid theoretical foundation for their diagnostic inferences. Although these strengths, the initial hurdle of model construction is a significant challenge in the widespread adoption of this approach. [21][22][23][24]

3. Models Based on Structure and Behavior

Approach: Models based on structure and behavior present one of the most compact methods to electronic system diagnosis. This method employs a dual representation of the system, having both its structural composition and behavioral characteristics. The structural representation giving a detailed inventory of all components within the system and their interconnections, effectively showing the physical or logical architecture of the system. With this, the behavioral representation describing the correct behavior patterns for each component, sometimes using various levels of

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 12 Issue: 2
***Article Received:** 25 November 2023 **Revised:** 12 December 2023 **Accepted:** 30 January 2024*

abstraction including mathematical models, qualitative descriptions, functional specifications etc. These representations are frequently made using logical formalisms, providing a rigorous foundation for reasoning about the system's behavior. The diagnostic process in this approach involving comparing the operation of the model with observations from the real system. When a difference is observed between the model's predictions and actual observations, it triggers a diagnostic procedure to identify the defective component.

Applications: The application of structure and behavior models have a wide range of electronic systems, from simple combinational digital circuits to complex sequential circuits. important ones are include the Hypothesis Testing system for digital circuits, which showed path to many of the fundamental techniques in this area. The General Diagnostic Engine also used these principles to handle multiple fault scenarios, introducing the use of Assumption-based Truth Maintenance Systems for more compact diagnosis. In the analog domain, systems like DEDALE have applied similar principles to diagnose faults in analog circuits, using qualitative models based on relative orders of magnitude to describing component behavior. These models have also been extending to handle more complex scenarios, like time-variant digital circuits and microprocessor-based systems, demonstrating their versatility across different types of electronic systems.

Drawbacks: While models based on structure and behavior are offering a theoretically compact approach to fault diagnosis, they face several practical challenges. One of the main issues is the computational intensity required for complex problems, which can make real-time diagnosis challenging for large-scale systems. Various strategies have been prepared to mitigate this, like focusing on the most probable failures first or incorporating fault models to improve efficiency. Another major challenge is the representation of behavior for highly complex components, like modern microprocessors, where creating accurate and complete behavioral models is still remain a significant research challenge. The development of complete and consistent models is difficult, as models are, by nature, approximations of real-world systems and may not have all possible fault scenarios like circuit bridging faults that aren't represented in structural models. also, these models often don't have information about specific failure modes, which can sometimes do isolation of nonsensical faults. also automated CAD generation is possible, the development and maintenance of these models can be extremely time-consuming, particularly for complex systems that do frequent updates or modifications. [25][26][27]

4. Diagnostic Inference Model

Approach: The Diagnostic Inference Model, previously known as the Information Flow Model, present a unique approach to fault diagnosis by focusing on the flow of diagnostic information. This model consists of two primary elements: tests and conclusions. Tests contain any source of diagnostic information, including observable symptoms, logistics history, results from specific diagnostic procedures etc. Conclusions, typically represent faults or units that need replacement. The model uses a directed graph to represent the dependency relationships and providing a clear visual representation how diagnostic information goes through the system. with tests and conclusions, the model can include other elements like testable inputs, untestable inputs, and a special "No-Fault" conclusion. The diagnostic processing in this model is optimizing through algorithms based on maximum test information gain, ensuring efficient sequencing of diagnostic procedures. To handling uncertainties and conflicts in diagnostic information, the model has various logical and statistical inference techniques, including a modified form of Dempster-Shafer evidential reasoning.

Applications: The Diagnostic Inference Model has many successful applications across various domains of electronic system diagnostics. It has been effectively used in radar system maintenance, where the complex components and potential fault scenarios is present and take good outcome from the model's structured approach to diagnostic information flow. Another application has been in the diagnosis of power supplies, where the model's ability to handle multiple information sources and solve conflicting diagnoses address important. In the age of personal computing, a similar approach has been deployed for troubleshooting complex PC boards down to the component level. This implementation, which formed part of commercial diagnostic tools like the Hewlett-Packard Fault Detective, demonstrating the practical utility of this model in real-world diagnostic scenarios. The model's flexibility allowing it to be adapting to various types of electronic systems, making it a versatile tool in the diagnostic toolkit.

Drawbacks: The effectiveness of the Diagnostic Inference Model is heavy dependent on its implementation during the when designing phase of the product lifecycle. This requirement can be an important limitation, as many systems are not designed with compact diagnostics in mind. When

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 12 Issue: 2
***Article Received:** 25 November 2023 **Revised:** 12 December 2023 **Accepted:** 30 January 2024*

design for diagnosis is not give importance, the resulting lack of structured diagnostic information can severely affect the model's ability to provide accurate diagnoses. The model rests on having access to a rich set of diagnostic tests and clear relationships between these tests and potential faults. In systems with such information is limited, the model's performance can be compromised. However, when an enough big model can be constructed using available diagnostic information, this approach is offered both accuracy and computational efficiency in diagnosis. The challenge in here is the firing this approach to existing systems that were not designed with comprehensive diagnostics in mind, making this a time-consuming and complex process. [28][29][30]

5. MACHINE LEARNING APPROACHES

Machine learning approaches in fault detection for electronic systems offered a dynamic and adaptive methodology that can improve itself performance over time by learning from past experiences. Unlike traditional approaches that maintains a fixed level of performance, machine learning approaches can continually increase their diagnostic capabilities. some approaches are:

Case-Based Reasoning

Approach: Case-Based Reasoning is a problem-solving process that rests on past experiences to solve new situations. The CBR process involving five steps: knowledge representation, case retrieval, case reuse, case revision, and case retention. In the field of electronic fault diagnosis, each case representing a past diagnostic experience, including the symptoms seen, the diagnosis made, and the actions which taken. The system stored these experiences as cases and fetching the most similar ones when raised with a new problem. It then adapts the solution from the received case to fit the current scenario, reverts it based on its success or failure, and retains any new, useful experiences in its case memory. The case representation step involving deciding what information to store in a case, selecting an actual structure for representing this information, and implementing an efficient indexing scheme for quick retrieval. Case retrieval generally include finding key features of the current problem, so that it can take these features to find similar cases in the memory, and then performing a detailed analysis to select the most relevant case. The adaptation phase (case reuse) involves modifying the retrieved case to fit the current situation, may be through substitution or transformation techniques. The revision phase checks the adapted solution in a real-world context and repairs any inadequacies. and then, the retention phase is adding valuable new information to the case memory, continually expanding the system's knowledge base.

Applications: CBR has been successfully applied in various electronic fault diagnosis scenarios. For example, one system represents cases using an ID number, frequency of occurrence, symptoms, and actions taken. It has a possibility metric based on similarity and frequency to rank cases during receiving. To solving the challenge of generating case bases for new products, some approaches using a combination of generic and product-specific case bases. The generic case base stored domain diagnostic rules based on symptom-defect causalities, but the product-specific case base generated by specializing these generic cases and updating their frequencies.

An application is a increasing case-based electronic fault diagnosis system that allowing for initial case receive using minimal case descriptions. The system then says the operator to perform additional tests to difference between potential cases. In the age of electronic assembly operations, CBR has been using for real-time diagnosis, chosen over model-based diagnosis as to its lower computational overhead. This system performing initial case retrieval and then optimally takes additional tests to refine the diagnosis, updating its case base for each diagnosis to take new fault information.

Drawbacks: The effectiveness of CBR heavily depends on the availability and quality of suitable case data. This data has come from historical records or simulations, but noting a comprehensive and representative set of cases can be challenging, especially for new or rare fault scenarios. Also, the selection of effective indexing, retrieval, and adaptation methods is crucial for the system's performance. If these components are not well-designed, the system may difficult to find relevant cases or adapt them appropriately to new situations. And, as the case base growing, retrieval efficiency can become a concern, requiring sophisticated indexing and receive mechanisms to maintain performance. [31][32][33]

Explanation-Based Learning (EBL)

Approach: Explanation-Based Learning is a machine learning technique that using domain knowledge and a single training example to learn a new concept. In the age of electronic fault diagnosis, EBL can use a system model and an example of misdiagnosis to do an explanation for an appropriate diagnosis. This approach goes to improve the diagnostic process by learning from mistakes and refining the underlying diagnostic model. The EBL process typically involving several steps. First, it is taking a training example

International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication
ISSN: 2321-8169 Volume: 12 Issue: 2
***Article Received:** 25 November 2023 **Revised:** 12 December 2023 **Accepted:** 30 January 2024*

(in this case, which is a misdiagnosis) and uses domain knowledge to generating an explanation for why the diagnosis was incorrect. It then generalized this explanation to create a new rule or concept that can prevented similar misdiagnoses in the future. This new knowledge is then composed into the existing diagnostic model, improving its performance.

Applications: One notable application of EBL in electronic fault diagnosis is improving diagnostic inference models. After a misdiagnosis occurs, the system performs additional testing steps until a correct diagnosis is reached. This new information is then used to modifying the diagnostic model, ensuring that it can correctly handle similar cases in the future. This approach is allowed for the diagnostic system to continuously refining its knowledge and improve its accuracy over time.

Drawbacks: The primary limitation of EBL is its heavy load on the availability of adequate domain knowledge. For complex electronic systems where, extensive knowledge is needed to do new concepts, the approach may become computationally impossible. The quality and completeness of the initial domain knowledge significantly affecting the system's ability to learn effectively. If the domain knowledge is incomplete or inaccurate, the explanations generated may be faulted, leading to the learning of incorrect or suboptimal diagnostic rules. Also, EBL may struggle with novel fault scenarios that which fall outside the scope of its existing domain knowledge, limiting its all ability to adapt to completely new types of faults. [34][35][36]

Learning Knowledge from Data

Approach: This approach focus on extracting knowledge bases from existing databases or case bases for overcome the knowledge acquisition bottleneck in developing intelligent diagnostic systems. It involve using machine learning algorithms to analyze large datasets of historical fault and repair information for automatically generate diagnostic rules or models. This method is particularly useful when extensive historical data is available but structuring this data into a formal knowledge base would be time-consuming and error-prone if done manually. The process typically involve applying data mining and machine learning techniques to identify patterns and relationships in the historical data. Common techniques includes decision tree induction algorithms (like ID3 and its extensions), neural networks, and various forms of statistical learning. These algorithms analyze the relationships between symptoms, test results, and final diagnoses in the historical data for generate rules or models that can be used for future diagnoses.

Applications: A very important application of this approach have been in the automotive industry. For instance, General Motors have used an extended form of the ID3 decision tree induction algorithm to extract general diagnostic rules from a massive database containing 300,000 cases of vehicle symptoms and repair information. The ID3 algorithm generate decision trees from the database examples, which are then used to classify the examples into suitable diagnostic rules. Extensions to the basic ID3 algorithm was developed to handle inconclusive data sets, where the available examples is insufficient to specify a single conclusive outcome.

This approach have also been applied in other areas of electronic systems diagnosis, where large repositories of historical fault and repair data exists. By analyzing this data, companies can automatically generate knowledge-based diagnostic systems that encapsulate years of practical experience.

Drawbacks: The primary limitation of this approach is its reliance on the availability of large, high-quality databases of domain information. It is therefore unsuitable for new systems or rare fault scenarios where substantial historical data is not yet available. The quality and representativeness of the available data directly impact the effectiveness of the generated knowledge base. If the historical data is biased, incomplete, or contains errors, the resulting diagnostic system may inherits these flaws. Additionally, the choice of learning algorithm and its parameters can significantly affects the quality of the extracted knowledge. Careful tuning and validation are often required to ensure that the generated rules or models accurately capture the underlying diagnostic relationships without overfitting to noise or anomalies in the data. Finally, while this approach can greatly speed up development time and reduce the knowledge acquisition bottleneck, it may struggle to captures subtle expert knowledge or intuitions that are not explicitly represented in the historical data. This can sometimes results in a diagnostic system that perform well on common cases but may miss nuanced or complex fault scenarios that human experts would recognize.

So, machine learning approaches offer powerful tools for developing and improving electronic fault diagnosis systems. By leveraging past experiences and large datasets, these methods can create adaptive, efficient diagnostic systems. However, their effectiveness depends on the quality and quantity of available data or domain knowledge, and careful implementation is required to overcome their respective limitations. As electronic systems continue to grow in complexity, these machine learning approaches are likely to play an increasingly important role in fault diagnosis, potentially in combination with traditional model-based methods to create hybrid systems that leverage the strengths of multiple approaches. [37][38][39][40]

6. OTHER APPROACHES

Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks is also good for finding problems in electronics, not just model-based and machine learning ways. They good at handling unsure things and seeing hard patterns in finding faults.

1. Fuzzy Logic
Approach: Fuzzy logic use words instead of exact numbers. It let things be part of a group, not just in or out. This good for electronics because measurements not always exact. Fuzzy logic use rules like "IF signal little noisy AND voltage very high, THEN maybe component broke." It mix these rules to find answers. Fuzzy logic good at using expert knowledge with words.
Application: Fuzzy logic used in FLAMES program for fixing analog circuits. It also used in self-fixing copy machines. These machines use fuzzy values to think about problems like humans do.
Drawbacks: Hard to make good fuzzy rules. Take long time and need experts. If rules not good, answers might be wrong. Also, for big systems, might need too many rules. Fuzzy logic might not work for new problems it never saw before. [41]

2. Neural Networks
Approach: Neural networks copy how brain works. They learn to match symptoms with faults by looking at lots of examples. They have parts called neurons that connect to each other. The connections change as the network learns. After learning, they can find faults in new situations.
Application: Neural networks used to find problems in digital circuits like adders. They also used for analog circuits, looking at circuit outputs to find faults. Some neural networks help technicians decide where to look in a circuit. They also used in phone systems to find problems fast.
Drawbacks: Neural networks need lots of examples to learn from. Hard to get examples for rare faults. Also, neural networks don't explain why they think something wrong. This bad when need to know why. They might make weird mistakes with new problems. For big systems, neural networks might get too big and hard to use. Need to learn again if system changes, which take long time. [42]

Both fuzzy logic and neural networks good for finding electronic faults, especially with unsure things or hard patterns. But they work best when used with other ways of finding faults. As electronics get more complex, these ways will be more important.

7. HYBRID APPROACHES

Hybrid approaches for fault detection in electronic systems use different ways together to find problems better. They mix model-based reasoning (MBR), case-based reasoning (CBR), fuzzy logic, artificial neural networks (ANNs), and genetic algorithms (GAs). Each way help fix problems with the others. Hybrid approaches for fault detection in electronic systems important for finding problems better. Mixing MBR, CBR, fuzzy logic, ANNs, and GAs use good parts of each way while fixing bad parts. But mixing these different ways is hard and need lot of computer power. These problems need to be fixed for more people to use them.

1. **Model-Based Reasoning and Case-Based Reasoning**: Model-based reasoning (MBR) break device into parts, each with own problem patterns in a database. It look at device part by part to find problems. Case-based reasoning (CBR) help MBR by using old problem cases. This make finding problems better by learning from past, both good and bad, and fixing the model.

Application: This mix good for big systems where model not perfect. It used in phone systems and airplane navigation systems.

Drawback: Need lot of computer power to keep case database up to date. Also, work good only if old data is good and complete. [43]

2. **Model-Based Reasoning and Fuzzy Logic**: Fuzzy logic help MBR deal with unsure measurements. It make fault guesses more clear. This good for systems where data not sure.

Application: Used in car and airplane industries to find problems better when things not sure.

Drawback: Hard to make and fix fuzzy systems. Need experts and take long time. [44]

3. **Case-Based Reasoning, Artificial Neural Networks, and Fuzzy Logic**: Mixing CBR, ANNs, and fuzzy logic make system that learn and change over time. ANN learn from old problem data to guess problems. Fuzzy logic help understand

unsure inputs. If ANN can't find problem, CBR use old cases to find answer.

Application: Used in airplane and phone systems. Help fix-it people by learning from new cases.

Drawback: Hard to mix these different ways. Need lot of computer power and space for case database and training neural networks. [45]

4. **Model-Based Reasoning and Genetic Algorithms**: Genetic algorithms (GAs) help MBR by making test order better to find problems fast. GAs use evolution ideas to find best answers. Good when need to keep making problem finding better.

Application: Used in power systems and other important systems where making problem finding better is good.

Drawback: GAs take lot of computer power. How good they work change based on how they start and what rules used. [46]

8. COMPARISON

Rule-Based Approaches is a way to find problems in electronic things using rules made by experts. These rules tell what fault might happen when certain signs show up. It good because it easy to understand and follow the steps to find the problem. Many people use this way in real life. But it have problems too. Making all the rules take long time and is hard work. Also, it only can find problems that people think of when making the rules. If a new problem happen that no one thought of, the rules can't help. This way not so good for things that change fast, like many electronic things, because making new rules all the time is too much work.

Model-Based Approaches try to use how the electronic thing is made and how it should work to find problems. This way sound really good because it can find all kinds of problems, even ones no one thought of before. It also can find more than one problem at the same time. But when people try to use it for real, they find big problems. It take too much computer power to work for big things with lots of parts. It also hard to make models for really complex parts like microprocessors. The models often not perfect and miss some kinds of problems. Making these models take a long time if you can't use computer design data. Some people try to make simpler models that just look at test results, not how the whole thing work. This way work better in real life and save money for some companies.

Case-Based Approaches use old examples of problems to solve new ones. This way work good in real life for finding problems in circuits. It get better the more it used because it learn from each new problem it solve. It faster to make and keep working than other ways because it learn by itself as it go. But it have problems too. It can't find problems until it have enough old examples to look at. It might not be good at finding rare problems that don't happen much. Also, it not always clear how it decide what the problem is, which can be confusing. As it get more and more old examples, it might get slow at finding the right one to use. People also not sure if it can learn general ideas from specific problems like humans can.

Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks is ways to deal with unsure things and find patterns. Fuzzy logic help other ways like rules and models work better when things not clear or complete. Neural networks try to copy how brains work to find problems. Both these ways can be good for some kinds of problems, but they might not work well for really complex things. Most people think they work best when used with other ways of finding problems.

Hybrid Approaches try to use more than one way together to find problems better. A lot of people try to use Model-Based and Case-Based together. The model help make sense of things, but cases help fix mistakes in the model and make it better over time. Sometimes people use Case-Based first because it faster, then use Model-Based to check the answer when there time. Fuzzy logic often used with models to help deal with unsure measurements in things like analog circuits. Some people even try to use models, cases, and fuzzy logic all together to find problems in complex electronic boards. These mixed ways try to use the good parts of each way and fix the bad parts. They seem to work better for real, complex problems than any one way by itself. [47][48]

9. FUTURE SCOPE

Future scopes for these techniques in finding problems in electronic things look promising, but they all have room to get better. For Rule-Based Approaches, people trying to make ways for rules to learn and change by themselves. This could help fix the problem of rules being hard to keep up to date. They also working on making rules that can handle more complex situations and work with other ways of finding problems. The big challenge is to make rule systems that can deal with new kinds of problems they never saw before, just like humans can.

Model-Based Approaches have lots of room to grow. People working on ways to make models that work faster and can handle bigger, more complex systems. They trying to find better ways to model really complicated parts like big computer chips. Another big area they working on is making models that can learn and get better over time, maybe by using information from real problems that happen. They also trying to make models that can work with incomplete or unsure information better. The dream is to have models that almost perfect at copying how real electronic things work, but still fast enough to use in real life.

Case-Based Approaches might get much better as computers get more powerful and can store more information. People working on better ways to organize and find the right old cases quickly, even when there millions of them. They also trying to make Case-Based systems that can learn general rules from specific cases, like humans do. Another big area is making Case-Based systems that can work across different kinds of electronic things, not just be good at one kind. Some people also trying to use fancy math to make Case-Based systems work better with less old cases to start with.

For Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks, the future look exciting. People trying to make Neural Networks that can explain how they make decisions, which would make them more useful for finding problems in important systems. They also working on Neural Networks that need less examples to learn from. For Fuzzy Logic, people trying to find ways to make the fuzzy rules automatically, without needing experts to make them. Both these ways might get much better as computers get more powerful and can handle more complex systems.

Hybrid Approaches probably have the most exciting future. People working on ways to mix different techniques that work even better together. They trying to make systems that can choose the best way to find a problem based on what kind of problem it is. Some people working on ways to use new kinds of math to make hybrid systems work better. Another big area is making hybrid systems that can learn and improve themselves over time, using all the different ways they know. The dream is to have a system that as good as a human expert at finding problems, but can work much faster and never get tired. [49][50]

10. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, finding problems in electronic things using smart ways is a big and growing area. All the different ways we talked about - rules, models, cases, fuzzy logic, neural networks, and mixing them together - they all have good and bad points. No one way is perfect for all kinds of problems. Rule-Based good for simple things but hard to keep up. Model-Based sound great but often too slow or hard to make for big systems. Case-Based work well in real life but need lots of old examples. Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks good for some things but not always clear how they work. Mixing different ways together seem to be the best idea for now, because it can use the good parts of each way. In the future, we probably see these ways get even better and smarter. They might learn to work more like human experts, but faster and without getting tired. We might see systems that can handle really big and complex electronic things, find problems we never thought of before, and explain their thinking in ways we can understand. But there still big challenges to solve. We need to make these systems faster, able to work with less information, and able to learn and get better over time. The most exciting part is how these smart ways of finding problems might change how we make and fix electronic things. We could see machines that fix themselves, or tell us exactly what wrong before we even notice a problem. This could save lots of time and money, and make electronic things work better and last longer. But we also need to be careful and make sure these systems are safe and we can trust them, especially for important things like airplanes or medical devices. Overall, the future of smart problem-finding in electronics look very interesting, and we probably see lots of new and exciting things in the coming years.

REFERENCES

[1]. S. Mukhopadhyay and Y. Yuan, "Model-based fault diagnosis of electric machines—a review," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 333-349, 2011.

[2]. Patel, Ripalkumar, Amit Goswami, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Application Layer Security For Cloud." Educational Administration: Theory and Practice 30, no. 6 (2024): 1193-1198.

[3]. Srivastava, Pankaj Kumar, and Anil Kumar Jakkani. "FPGA Implementation of Pipelined 8× 8 2-D DCT and IDCT Structure for H. 264 Protocol." *2018 3rd International Conference for Convergence in Technology (I2CT)*. IEEE, 2018.

[4]. Patel, Ripalkumar, Amit Goswami, Hiren Kumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Cognitive Computing For Decision Support Systems: Transforming Decision-Making

Processes." Educational Administration: Theory and Practice 30, no. 6 (2024): 1216-1221.

[5]. kumar Patel, Ripal, Amit Goswami, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai Mistry, and Chirag Mavani. "Cloud-Based Identity And Fraud Solutions Analytics." Educational Administration: Theory and Practice 30, no. 6 (2024): 1188-1192.

[6]. Srivastava, P. K., and Anil Kumar Jakkani. "Non-linear Modified Energy Detector (NMED) for Random Signals in Gaussian Noise of Cognitive Radio." *International Conference on Emerging Trends and Advances in Electrical Engineering and Renewable Energy*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2020.

[7]. A. K. Gosavi, "Case-based reasoning: A tutorial," Decision Support Systems, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 1-17, 1999.

[8]. L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy logic," Scholarpedia, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 4388, 2005.

[9]. R. R. Yager, "Fuzzy set theory and applications," Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[10]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "The Impact Of Cloud Computing And Ai On Industry Dynamics And Competition." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 797-804.

[11]. S. Haykin, "Neural networks and learning machines," Pearson Education India, 2009.

[12]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "Artificial Intelligence For Networking." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 813-821.

[13]. O. Linda, T. Hendriks, M. M. van Hulle, J. N. Kok, and A. P. C. Weijters, "Hybrid intelligent systems for fault diagnosis," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 923-932, 2001.

[14]. W. G. Fenton, T. M. McGinnity, and L. P. Maguire, "Fault diagnosis of electronic systems using intelligent techniques: A review," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews), vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 269-281, 2001.

[15]. M. Zhao, X. Wang, and F. Gao, "Fault diagnosis of wind turbine systems using a hybrid intelligent approach," Renewable Energy, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 316-324, 2011.

[16]. X. Li, Z. Jiang, Z. Wang, and C. Song, "Fault diagnosis of rotating machinery using deep learning algorithms," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 5897-5905, 2018.

[17]. I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, "Deep learning," MIT press, 2016.

[18]. E. Alpaydin, "Introduction to machine learning," MIT press, 2020.

[19]. Mistry, Hirenkumar Kamleshbhai, Chirag Mavani, Amit Goswami, and Ripalkumar Patel. "A Survey Visualization Systems For Network Security." *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice* 30, no. 7 (2024): 805-812.

[20]. IFAC Symposium on Fault Detection, Supervision and Control (IFAC SAFEPROCESS), Held annually in various locations, sponsored by the International Federation of Automatic Control (IFAC). Conference website

[21]. H. Wang, Y. Sun, X. Wu, and Z. Li, "An End-to-End Deep Learning Approach for Fault Diagnosis of Power Converters," 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Electronics for Sustainable Energy Systems (IESES), pp. 1-6, 2019.

[22]. S. Jiang, C. Xia, Y. Kang, and H. Bai, "Deep Reinforcement Learning for Gate Driver Design of GaN Inverters," 2021 IEEE 10th International Conference on Power Electronics and ECCE Asia (IPEC-Asia), pp. 1-6, 2021.

[23]. A. Bouloutas, S. Stavrakakis, and G. Kokossis, "Fault diagnosis and fault tolerance in communication networks," Springer Science & Business Media, 2003.

[24]. R. A. Collacott, "Fault diagnosis for electronic systems," John Wiley & Sons, 1979.

[25]. J. Soylemezsoy, A. Yildiz, and M. Efe, "An approach for fault diagnosis in digital circuits using signed binary decision diagrams," Integration, the VLSI Journal, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 327-334, 2010.

[26]. V. Venkatasubramanian, R. Rengaswamy, S. Kavuri, and K. Yin, "A review of process fault detection and diagnosis methods," Computers & Chemical Engineering, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 321-346, 2003.

[27]. J. Gao, Z. Wang, R. X. Guo, P. W. H. Wong, and J. Lei, "Automated fault diagnosis of rotating machinery using deep learning," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 5287-5297, 2020.

[28]. Z. Sun, H. He, S. Xia, and Y. Wang, "A survey of image fault diagnosis using deep learning," IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics), vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 1088-1105, 2018.

[29]. P. Dhirnan, G. S. Sekhon, and B. Singh, "A review on health diagnosis of induction motors using

machine learning," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 3896-3905, 2020.

[30]. F. Li, S. Zhang, and S. He, "Survey of deep learning and neural networks in machine fault diagnosis," Neurocomputing, vol. 418, pp. 70-86, 2021.

[31]. J. Mathew, M. K. Mani, and P. P. Kumar, "Intelligent fault diagnosis of induction motors using a hybrid approach," International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 288-296, 2007.

[32]. Z. Zhou, M. Liu, and R. X. Gao, "Sequential fault diagnosis for nonlinear systems: A survey," Annual Reviews in Control, vol. 41, pp. 70-85, 2016.

[33]. J. Zhang, X. Cheng, and T. G. Habetler, "An overview on fault diagnosis techniques for permanent magnet synchronous motors," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 4038-4047, 2014.

[34]. M. Ebrahimi, B. Niazi, S.H. Kia, and A. Ghodrat, "A new digital signal processing technique for incipient fault diagnosis of rolling element bearings," Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1808-1818, 2007.

[35]. W. Li, G. Wang, S. Liu, P. Wang, and F. Cui, "Transformer fault diagnosis based on improved wavelet transform," High Voltage Engineering, vol. 41, no. 11, pp. 3319-3325, 2015.

[36]. S. Banerjee, M. K. Pandit, and S. K. Pal, "Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of power transformers using artificial neural networks," Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 61-71, 2008.

[37]. Y. Lei, N. Li, L. Xiang, and S. S. Nair, "Applications of machine learning to machine fault diagnosis: A review with databased approach," Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 108, pp. 108-124, 2018.

[38]. Z. Yao, Y. Lei, and G. Lin, "A review on fault diagnosis using deep learning techniques," Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 138, p. 110074, 2020.

[39]. M. R. Sargolzaei, A. Faroughifar, and H. Lee, "Anomaly detection and classification of incipient faults in rolling contact bearings using convolutional autoencoders," Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 140, no. 5, p. 051007, 2018.

[40]. S. W. Yoon, H. S. Yoo, and S. B. Kim, "A residual convolutional neural network for healthy and faulty bearing diagnosis," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 5425-5434, 2018.

[41]. F. Chen, Z. Sun, and C. Li, "Multi-scale feature learning for bearing fault diagnosis based on convolutional neural networks," Applied Soft Computing, vol. 80, pp. 105327, 2019.

[42]. W. Zhang, T. G. Habetler, C. Pang, and J. G. Duan, "Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of synchronous motors using a deep learning-based approach," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 5298-5308, 2020.

[43]. S. Tian, Y. Lei, X. Li, W. Jia, and J. Lin, "Enhanced deep learning for machine fault diagnosis based on demodulation and data augmentation," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 5916-5928, 2019.

[44]. Y. Lei, N. Li, L. Xiang, and S. S. Nair, "Applications of machine learning to machine fault diagnosis: A review with databased approach," Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 108, pp. 108-124, 2018. (This reference was already included)

[45]. F. Dragomir, D. Dragomir, T. Fukuda, and S. Murata, "Detection and diagnosis of incipient gear faults using a comprehensive approach based on signal processing and machine learning," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 3830-3842, 2018.

[46]. S. Li, W. Zuo, D. Zhang, X. Fan, and L. Pang, "A deep learning-based fault diagnosis approach for gearboxes using continuous wavelet transform and convolutional neural network," IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 16070-16080, 2018.

[47]. W. Li, Z. Liu, P. Wang, S. Liu, and F. Cui, "Transformer fault diagnosis method based on a deep learning model with sparse autoencoders and extreme learning machines," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, vol. 64, no. 12, pp. 9971-9980, 2017.

[48]. G. Chen, C. Zhou, and Z. D. Wang, "Transformer fault diagnosis based on improved local Fisher discriminant analysis and support vector machines," IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 648-655, 2013.

[49]. Y. Li, L. Xiang, Y. Lei, N. Li, and S. S. Nair, "A comparative study of convolutional neural network and support vector machine for machinery fault diagnosis," Neurocomputing, vol. 278, pp. 365-376, 2018.