# A Review Study on Self-Consolidated Green Concrete with the Help of Aggregate Waste Materials

Research Scholar - Seeram Pulipati Rithesh<sup>1</sup>

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering , Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore, MP, India

**Research Guide - Dr. Prashant S. Lanjewar<sup>2</sup>** 

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore, MP, India <sup>1</sup>ritheshseeram91@gmail.com <sup>,2</sup> pslanjewar@yahoo.com

*Abstract* : This study explores the structural qualities of self-compacting concrete (SCC) made from environmentally friendly waste materials like brick dust (BD) and alum sludge (AS). By investigating how these materials affect concrete attributes, the research aims to provide a cost-effective and eco-friendly alternative to traditional concrete production. The review categorizes waste materials based on their role in replacing concrete and discusses various test procedures to evaluate SCC's performance. However, the analysis suggests that current research lacks sufficient data to support widespread adoption of waste materials in SCC production, highlighting the need for further investigation and functional testing to develop a comprehensive strategy for incorporating waste materials into SCC delivery.

Keywords: Self-Consolidated, Green Concrete, Waste Materials, Self Compacting Concrete.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Self-compacting concrete (SCC) stands out in the construction industry for its unique ability to merge seamlessly without the need for external mechanical vibrations. This innovative material not only enhances the aesthetic appeal of structures but also offers practical advantages, making it a preferred choice globally. SCC achieves this remarkable feat by incorporating environmentally friendly and cost-effective materials, such as brick dust (BD) and alum sludge (AS), into its composition. These materials not only reduce the reliance on traditional concrete but also contribute to improved strength and durability. BD, a byproduct of block manufacturing, acts as both a filler and a strength enhancer, while AS, derived from water treatment processes, serves as a binding agent. Through rigorous research and testing, the potential of these materials in enhancing SCC's structural qualities is being explored, paving the way for sustainable and efficient construction practices.

Moreover, the introduction of BD and AS into SCC offers significant benefits beyond structural enhancement. BD, in particular, not only reduces the cost associated with concrete production but also decreases the overall amount of concrete required for construction projects. Its incorporation into SCC improves the material's resistance to hazardous elements like salt and enhances its water-propulsion properties. Similarly, AS, known for its widespread use in water treatment, contains colloidal alum hydroxides that contribute to SCC's mechanical properties. Research endeavors focus on optimizing the utilization of these materials to achieve greater performance and durability in SCC. As traditional concrete poses challenges such as air entrapment and noise pollution during construction, the adoption of SCC presents a promising solution to these issues, offering a more efficient and sustainable alternative in the building sector.

#### 2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Following are the objectives of the study:

- To compare the various materials of self-consolidated green concrete, both before and after the addition of various ingredients.
- To find out an optimum technique for the carefully select, analyze and research of waste components to acquire selfconsolidated Green Concrete.

#### 3. DATA COLLECTION

A few common resources that were once used to produce the SCC and prompt the preservation of both the environment and regular assets can be replaced by waste products. The delivery of concrete determines how the waste materials are selected. The several types of waste materials demonstrate each material's characteristics and effects on the SCC's physical characteristics.

## 3.1. Waste Materials Used as A Substitute for The Aggregate

## 3.1.1. Recycled concrete aggregate

Concrete that can be used for a variety of objectives, including ecological and functional ones, and that can be delivered by demolition debris from a demolished design (for human or natural reasons). Tang and others' assessment of the RCA and its implications for the SCC It also entails concentrating on the concrete's strength and other qualities. The study includes four different totals for the amount of recycled concrete, including the associated 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%. They observe that the SCC's functionality increased as the entire volume of recycled concrete increased. Although it had no effect on the strength and only used up 75% of the total amount of recycled concrete, the break energy and flexibility modulus both decreased.

## 3.1.2. Glass waste

A substance that contains silica, calcium oxide, and other composites has amazing qualities such being cordless and hard. It is a common substance used in everyday life and can very easily be reused in that way. Glass waste can be made from a range of materials, such as containers and glass, but since recycling glass waste costs more money and effort, it must be discovered in sufficient amounts. Glass waste comes in three different forms that can be substituted for the total in the SCC: coarse glass trash, fine glass waste, and glass powder. One of the experts discovered that the compressive strength of the SCC reduced as the amount of glass powder grew while utilising glass waste as a 100% substitute for the whole and met kaolin as a 20% replacement for ordinary Portland concrete.

## 3.1.3. Rubber waste

Since there are so many automobiles on the earth, a significant number of tyres have contributed to climate change disintegration. Material can be obtained from vehicles and other devices that employ elastic types. The usage of elastic waist was then used in the development of the SCC as a replacement for the total as follows: first, strung elastic was used to replace the coarse total, and second, morsel elastic was used to improve the fine total. According to a scientist in Turkey who employed elastic waste to deliver SCC as a percent from 5% to 25% with the presence of fly debris as a percent from 20% to 60%, the substitutions in the review lead to a drop in compressive strength while expanding the elastic waste and causing high porosity.

## 3.1.4. Brick waste

It is possible to obtain a material from the destruction of development, and it is present in vast quantities for a variety of reasons, including urbanisation. Utilizing this material in various benefits. concrete has including being environmentally friendly and practical. Given the size of the blocks, it frequently serves as an improvement to the total and the OPC in SCC. The group of experts tested using block facades as a replacement when building the SCC, and they discovered that the effectiveness decreased when the percentage of block facades used exceeded 25%. They also discovered that using 10% of the block facades and reducing shrinkage results in the strongest compressive strength.

## 3.1.5. Coal bottom ash

Resulted from the coal consumption associated with the delivery of fly debris during the power age. It is used in the SCC as a trade for some overall advantages that are comparable to other waste products previously mentioned that are also financially astute [20]. Researchers who used it as a replacement for fine total and who used fly debris to improve the OPC discovered a loss in compressive strength while increasing the coal base debris, but they were able to pinpoint the expansion of compressive strength by age. Similar to this, as the coal base debris expands, its stiffness decreases.

## 3.2. Waste Materials Used as A Substitute for The Cement

## 3.2.1. Fly ash

It can be produced using the coal that is still readily available and is still used in power plants all over the world. In SCC, materials with pozzolanic properties are employed to boost compressive strength and reduce water content adjustment. There are two categories of fly debris: Class F and C. Because its standards are enhanced, the advancement of SCC will be slowed down, making the amount of this material in SCC crucial. When Najm and other reviewers used it with granulated impact heater slag that replaced the concrete, they discovered that functionality had improved. The SCC review also included Fly detritus and other elements.

## 3.2.2. Limestone powder

It is a substance that is obtained from a limestone quarry and is widely available due to the manufacture of totals, but regrettably, these totals have had a negative impact on the environment and human health. There have been special studies exploring replacing the OPC in the delivery of the SCC with limestone powder. When a group of scientists added limestone powder to concrete in the amounts of 2% to 10% along with other innovations, the concrete's compressive strength increased to 45 MPa.

### 3.2.3. Sawdust ash

Sawdust can be used to make this product, especially in finished furniture products where it is available in large amounts. After the sawdust is burned, there will be some debris and waste material left over, and this material is utilized in SCC as an alternative to concrete. One study on the utilization of sawdust particles to convey the SCC shows that it is expanding in streaming but concurrently narrowing in dispersion as the sawdust debris rises.

## 3.2.4. Silica fume

Due to the presence of a super plasticizer in the material, the ferrosilicon composite delivery material can also be used in SCC as a concrete substitute, helping to increase the blend's stream capacity. The expansion in air is countered by raising the silica shoulder, which will have an impact on the utility, according to an assessment on employing silica rage as a fuse with SCC. The effects of utilizing silica rage are also shown by the mechanical characteristics. For instance, compressive strength in SCC rises with increasing silica shoulder up to 15%, but starts to go off after that.

## 3.2.5. Basalt powder

It is a waste product created from basalt quarries, and due to its abundance, it may be harmful to the environment. It might replace OPC in the SCC. Basalt powder can be used as a limited substitute for OPC, and an expert review of the use of basalt powder came to the conclusion that there is no change in strength until the amount of basalt powder is raised by more than 10%, at which point the compressive strength would decline.

## 4. METHODOLOGY AND DATA ANALYSIS

## 4.1. Major Ingredients

## 4.1.1. Brick Dust

Blocks and block furnaces are burnt when they are stacked or dumped, creating BD. Concrete has long made use of pozzolanic elements like brick dust and various types of powder pottery. Large clay components, which are used to make most blocks, are also combined with different oxides and carbonates, as well as 20 to 30 percent alumina and 50 to 60 percent silica. The credit for Surkhi's most admirable pozzolanic behaviour lies squarely with Earth. During the block-making process, when tile used to form blocks is coupled with other materials, like lime, it develops a noticeable pozzolanic property that is not present in the original tile.

## 4.1.2. Chemical Reaction in Concrete Brick Dust

When present in water, Block Dust, often referred as Surkhi, behaves as pozzolana and reacts with lime. If carbon dioxide combines successfully with calcium hydroxide, calcium carbonate (CaCO3) and water are the end products. The following are the main synthetic reactions:

Water + Portland cement (Type-1) → Calcium Silicate Hydrate

$$CO_2 + Ca (OH)_2 \rightarrow H_2O + CaCO_3$$

When it interacts with the lime, more usable hydraulic cement is produced. The response is as follows:

Ca (OH)  $_2$  + Pozzolana + water  $\rightarrow$  C-H-S (Glue)

The first response is an incredibly quick response in nature that actually gives a quantifiable early strength upgrade for the concrete, whereas the second response of pozzolana with fully delivered lime within sight of water is typically a lax response in nature that influences the qualities of concrete in the early age somewhat. However, as healing progresses, the Surkhi (block dust) actually boosts SCC's potency by providing an extra dose of C-H-S, which noticeably improves the folio properties.

## 4.1.3. Alum Sludge (AS)

AS is knowledgeable about concrete and can be used as a maintainable treatment to replace concrete up to 30% as part of the continuous assessment. It is blended with standard Portland concrete to increase strength and improve the fundamental mechanical capabilities of concrete in order to design and produce self-compacting concrete with superior execution. The stove dried alum slime was then ground into a powder using a Los Angeles scraped spot test tool. The alum was ground to the required level of 905 fineness.

After that, it was carefully sieved using a 45 mm sifter ring to achieve the ideal fineness. The basic chemical compositions of alum slime have been studied. Table 1 summarizes the general compound structure of the alum sludge as well as all of its real characteristics.

Chemical Analysis, (%)						Physical Tests					
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaO	MgO		Na <sub>2</sub> O	$K_2O$	$P_2O_5$	OI	Specific	Moisture
$SiO_2$					$SO_3$					gravity	content
											(%)
34.27	42.02	3.85	0.14	0.38	0.23	0.20	2.78	0.15	22.68	3.43	0.85

Table: 1. External characteristics and chemical composition of aluminum sludge.

## 4.1.4. Super-Plasticizer

"In addition, "super plasticizer" is an important ingredient in self-compacting concrete (SCC), making it a necessary part of SCC concrete. When the setting of the concrete is delayed or productivity is essential, super plasticizers are used to provide workable concrete. Admixtures are consolidated as a crucial part of the blend in the form replacement to create high-strength concrete. Because water is used to achieve the hydration process, this causes the water volume to grow and ultimately hinders functioning. The formaldehyde condensates of choked-out naphthalene or melamine formaldehyde are these super plasticizers. Due to its obvious concrete dispersion activity, the "Ultra Chemicals Super Plasticizer" utilized in the experiment is prone to becoming "Choked out Naphthalene Formaldehyde Condensate." By adsorbing the concrete particles and providing them a negative charge that renders them dangerous when mixed, the sulfuric corrosive in it aids in dispersing the concrete particles. In contrast, these plasticizers are quite expensive due to their costly present method and solutions.

## 4.1.5. Cement

The cement used is Grade 53 Standard Portland Cement. Actually, the pavement was brand-new, spotless, and free of bumps and other unwelcome defects.

## 4.1.6. Fine Aggregates

The Lawrenceburg type's fine total was used to support the example. It was carefully sorted using sifter #4. ASTM C 136-93 has defined the successful fineness module of the pre-owned fine total.

## 4.1.7. Coarse Aggregates

The ongoing District Abbott awful "Margalla" squash research use coarse totals, which are a massive piece of concrete. Sifter testing is used to evaluate coarse totals while maintaining the ASTM C 136 standard.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## 5.1. Results

5.

Figure 1 shows how the tests were examined for assurance of compressive strength. There are two instances for each of the five proportions and the substance, which were developed and tested (two materials). With the rate augmentation, the activity of block dust (BD) has been seen as being better contrasted with alum slop (AS). Additionally, the article demonstrates how the use of these extra ingredients has caused changes in the strength of concrete. Figure 1 below illustrates the advantages of compressive strength in modified SCC for AS and BD. The consolidation of BD up to 12% by weight of concrete improved and updated the mechanical properties of SSC, according to the results of the compressive strength tests. The SCC displayed strengths of 12.46 MPa and 18.14 MPa for the control test at 7 days' strength and 28 days' strength, respectively. As the expansion of the optimal degree of BD at 12% and AS at 9% improved, the strength climbed to 21.05 MPa and 19.63 MPa for 7 days and 23.04 MPa and 22.13 MPa for 28 days of restoration, respectively. These materials demonstrated superior compressive strength qualities up to 12% and 9% by weight of concrete, respectively, similar to how BD and AS expanded. The two materials are described by stimulating the limiting qualities of self-compacted concrete, which resulted in further increased strength properties. The highest compressive strength for 28 days of relieving was found to be 23.04 MPa by combining 12% of BD with the weight of the concrete, which is about 21% higher than the control case.



Figure: 1. Compressive strength of composite material performance

Additionally, by releasing the complete weight of the concrete after 28 days, the highest compressive strength was attained by over 18%, with AS consolidating at roughly 9%. Therefore, brick dust is recommended as a material to partially replace concrete.

## 5.1.1. Split Tensile Strength

Concrete's extreme fragility when it comes to breaking is one of its key characteristics. Between the pressure machine plates, a standard chamber example is placed, and its behaviour is then noted along the opposite distance across. For each ratio (five ratios) and material, three examples were created and tested, as shown in Figure 2. (Two materials)



Figure: 2. Performance of composite materials in terms of split tensile strength

The results of the tests for separated stiffness are shown in Figure 2. As shown by the bar silhouettes in Figure 2, the split elasticity is first made larger by substituting up to 12% and 9% of BD and AS, a substitute to solidify. The stiffness value falls as these materials continue to expand. SCC displayed stiffness of 1.16 MPa for the control test at 7 days of strength and 1.65 MPa for the control test at 28 days of strength. The strength improved to 2.08 MPa and 1.88 MPa for 7 days and 2.30 MPa and 2.10 MPa for 28 days of restoration, respectively. However, there was improvement in the expansion of the ideal degree of BD at 12% and AS at 9%. Similar improvements in stiffness properties were seen in the expansion of BD and AS, which reached 12% and 9% of the concrete's weight, respectively. The highest elasticity was 2.30 MPa, which was enlarged 28% more than the control test by consolidating 12% of block dust. In contrast, the highest rigidity was expanded 9% of alum slop as a replacement for solidifying, which was over 21% greater than the control test.

## 5.1.2 Flexural Strength

One aspect of the rigidity of concrete is flexural strength. The resistance to stacking of a concrete bar is examined to determine flexural strength. Three examples were created and tested for each of the five amounts and chemicals (two materials). Three-phase charging was used to charge these bars. It is critical to observe the identical flexural strength in Figure 3 for a comparable assessment.



Figure: 3. Flexural performance of composite materials

Figure 3 illustrates the results of the flexural strength test graphically and shows how the flexural strength initially rises when BD and AS are substituted for solidifying by up to 12% and 9%, respectively. Flexural strength loses significance as these materials are expanded farther. SCC revealed flexural strengths of 7 MPa and 17 MPa, respectively, for the control test at 7 days' strength and for the control test at 28 days' strength. In any case, the strength grew to 8 MPa and 15 MPa for 7 days and 3.81 MPa and 3.40 MPa for 28 days of restoration, respectively. The expansion of the ideal degrees of BD at 12% and AS at 9% also improved. Similar results were obtained with the expansion of BD and AS, which demonstrated improved elasticity qualities up to 12% and 9%, respectively, by weight of concrete. The maximum flexural strength, 3.81 MPa, increased 64% more than the control test by solidifying 12% of block dust, whereas the highest stiffness increased over 46% above the control test by expanding 9% of alum slime instead of solidifying. Therefore, brick dust is recommended as a material to partially replace concrete.

## 5.2. Discussion

Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the results of tests on mechanical qualities such compressive strength, split rigidity, and flexural strength. To evaluate each mechanical attribute, there were two examples available for each of the five

proportions and materials (a total of two materials). Then, for better results analysis, the normal or mean value is established. The aforementioned information demonstrates that, when compared to AS, the consolidation of BD shows significant results. Both block residue and an alum muckbased blend of SCC have generated results with outcomes with higher mechanical qualities when compared to control instances up to a particular breaking point.

The results showed that compressive rigidities and split rigidities rose with an increase in AS substitution rate, but only up to a predetermined restriction of 9% expansion. The strong compressive properties eventually reduced with expanded proportions over time due to the growing AS happy and low concrete substance, which led to weakened hydration reactions and failed to shape the limiting harden to hold totals in strength. Block Dust boosted the concrete's viable characteristics by up to 15%; however, after that, there was a slight drop.

Waste resources can be responsibly utilised for development by merging BD and AS to create the SSC. The development industry will benefit from this combination of materials' cheap cost and compliance with economic improvement objectives.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The SCC's most recent astonishing change to the concrete globe can be inferred from its advantages for helping on the construction site and from cost and climate savings. Waste materials can be used in place of concrete and aggregate for producing SCC for some factors, such as maintainability, however the continuing studies are insufficient to establish an authoritative method for doing so. A significant number of the waste materials have a thin thickness, and when these materials are used to make SCC, the SCC develops an exceptional lightness that will help with various types of development. Before using waste materials as a partial or whole replacement for concrete, it is advisable to evaluate and research their characteristics because they may improve or worsen the SCC's compressive strength and other properties. Because many waste elements create spectacular outcomes in new concrete but aren't guaranteed to do so in solidify concrete, it is important to establish that they will perform as expected before employing them. The qualities of the original source of waste materials (geographical area and temperature) are impacted; typically, the SCC properties are impacted. The qualities of identical waste materials are therefore not completely similar, depending on the state, temperature, and type of use of these materials during their main use or prior to their reuse. Most waste materials have a

negative impact on the SCC's properties, but this is acceptable because it guards against environmental deterioration and, when utilized properly, has the potential to meet the criteria of both new and solidified concrete quality. It is feasible to understand the long-term effects of waste materials on the mechanical characteristics of the SCC by carefully analyzing and researching the waste components.

In light of the findings from the recent research on the Alum Sludge and Brick Dust that were combined in SCC as a convincing midway replacement of the regular Portland concrete, we were able to support the following detailed ends.

- Alum sludge, a valuable byproduct of water treatment plant waste (AS). The efficiency behaviour of AS changed SCC continued to follow the trend that has been frequently seen, and it even somewhat improved with higher rates.
- Concrete improvement can advance to achieving a controlled yet minimal expenditure and stable development pattern in our development sector with the use of both waste materials.

## References

- [1] Al-Hamrani A., Kucukvar M., Alnahhal W., Mahdi E., Onat N.C. Green Concrete for a Circular Economy: A Review on Sustainability, Durability, and Structural Properties. Materials. 2021;14:351. doi: 10.3390/ma14020351
- [2] Breesem, Khalid Mohammed, Faris Gorashi Faris, and Isam Mohammed Abdel-Magid. "Behavior of Self Compacting Concrete Using Different Sludge and Waste Materials–A General Overview." Int. J. Chem. Environ. Biol. Sci 2.3 (2014): 3-8
- [3] Colorado H.A., Velásquez E.I.G., Monteiro S.N. Sustainability of additive manufacturing: The circular economy of materials and environmental perspectives. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 2020; 9:8221– 8234. doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.04.062.
- [4] Council, Worl Energy. "World energy resources 2016." World Energy Council, London, UK (2016).
- [5] Gesoğlu, Mehmet, and Erhan Güneyisi. "Permeability properties of self-compacting rubberized concretes." Construction and Building Materials 25.8 (2011): 3319-3326.
- [6] Hamzah, Ahmad Farhan, et al. "Cementitious materials usage in self-compacting concrete: A review." Advanced Materials Research. Vol. 1113. Trans Tech Publications, 2015.

 [7] Hassan A.A., Lachemi M., Hossain K.M. Effect of metakaolin and silica fume on the durability of selfconsolidating concrete. Cem. Concr. Compos. 2012;34:801–807.

doi: 10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2012.02.013.

- [8] Ismail, Isham, Norwati Jamaluddin, and Shahiron Shahidan. "A review on performance of waste materials in self compacting concrete (SCC)." Jurnal Teknologi 78.5 (2016).
- [9] Knoeri C., Sanyé-Mengual E., Althaus H.-J. Comparative LCA of recycled and conventional concrete for structural applications. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 2013;18:909–918. doi: 10.1007/s11367-012-0544-2.
- [10] Kumar, K. Praveen. "Characteristics of SCC with Fly Ash and Manufactured Sand." IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. Vol. 149. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2016.
- [11] Leising E., Quist J., Bocken N. Circular Economy in the building sector: Three cases and a collaboration tool. J. Clean. Prod. 2018;176:976–989. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.010.
- [12] Marie I., Quiasrawi H. Closed-loop recycling of recycled concrete aggregates. J. Clean. Prod. 2012;37:243–248. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.07.020.
- [13] Mohamed, Osama Ahmed, and Omar Fawwaz Najm. "Compressive strength and stability of sustainable selfconsolidating concrete containing fly ash, silica fume, and GGBS." Frontiers of Structural and Civil Engineering 11.4 (2017): 406-411.
- [14] OBE, Ravindra K. Dhir, et al. Sustainable Construction Materials: Recycled Aggregates. Woodhead Publishing, 2019.
- [15] PCE Polymer Circular Economy. [(accessed on 2 June 2021)];2021
- [16] Rashad A.M. An investigation of high-volume fly ash concrete blended with slag subjected to elevated temperatures. J. Clean. Prod. 2015;93:47–55. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.031.
- [17] Santos, S., P. R. Da Silva, and Jorge De Brito. "Selfcompacting concrete with recycled aggregates-A literature review." Journal of Building Engineering (2019).
- [18] Suhendro B. Toward Green Concrete for Better Sustainable Environment. Procedia Eng. 2014;95:305– 320. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2014.12.190.
- [19] Tang, W. C., et al. "Properties of self-compacting concrete with recycled coarse aggregate." Advances in Materials Science and Engineering 2016 (2016).

[20] Tavakoli, Davoud, Masoumeh Hashempour, and Ali Heidari. "Use of waste materials in concrete: a review." Pertanika J Sci Technol 26.2 (2018): 499-522.